

In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Globalist Agendas Would Unfold, Tape #3

Tape #3 of the series is a 1991 interview conducted by Randy Engel. Physician Lawren Dunegan recollects Richard Day's chilling 1969 warnings foretelling what has happen over the last 54-years

APR 24, 2023 · PAID

♡ 134

💬 122

🔄 7

Share

Re-Introduction

Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the chess pieces around.

The following is another extended audiotaped account recollecting a **1969 tape** given by an **ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director, Richard Day** at a Pittsburgh restaurant. The tape is fuzzy, but I think our narrator, attendee Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, says "*Le Mont*".

This one, meebbeee?



The banner for the Le Mont restaurant website features a dark background with several award logos at the top: a red circular logo for "OpenTable DINERS' Choice 2023", a purple logo for "Wine Spectator AWARD OF EXCELLENCE 2020", a yellow logo for "WEDDINGWIRE COUPLES' CHOICE AWARDS 2020", and a gold logo for "Le Mont 63 Years 1960 - 2023". Below these is a gold circular seal for "THE AMERICAN ACCIDENT Five Star Diamond Award". The restaurant's name "Le Mont" is written in a large, elegant script, with the tagline "The Place for Special Occasions" underneath. A navigation bar with gold buttons for "HOME", "BANQUETS", "DINING", "GENERAL", "LOUNGE", "MENU", "NEWS", and "CONTACT" is positioned above a large, vibrant photograph of the Pittsburgh skyline at night, showing the city lights and the yellow arch bridge over the river. Below the photo, the address "1114 GRANDVIEW AVENUE", city "PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15211", and phone number "412-431-3100" are listed, followed by a "- MAP IT -" link. At the bottom, a promotional message reads: "Treat your Mom to our Mother's Day Buffet! Click here to see the Mother's Day Buffet Menu:".

Treat your Mom to our Mother's Day Buffet!
Click here to see the Mother's Day Buffet Menu:

A Beautiful Place to Discuss Depopulation and Social Control

The LeMont Celebrating Their 60th Anniversary



60 year anniversary in 2020. Overlooks the Ohio River, per Dr. Dunegan. Tim checks out.

Our storyteller, Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, recounts Richard Day's bio:

"Dr. Day was the Chairman of the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh from about 1959 thru '64, about that period of time, and then he left the University of Pittsburgh and went to fill the position of Medical Director of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America."

In 1969 (***some discrepancy here, as the YouTube links say March 20, 1968, Dunegan himself says 1969***), Planned Parenthood Director Richard Day was preparing his audience of physicians for the (Rockefeller, et. al) Global Agenda to come. He asked them not to take notes or record his session.

Dr Day told the group that what he was about to say would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand. These prophecies are

chilling in their accuracy. Much of this is straight up WEFIE Great Reset go on right now in lovely 2023.

The parts on medicine, health care, surveillance, science and technology are especially resonant, and are thoroughly covered in the first two tapes, links below.

Some of the social issue stuff at the very least made me think hard. Explode brain a bit. If it's true, talk about playing 5-D Chess!

This tape's audio is a follow up to the first two tapes which were recorded by Dunegan solo in 1988.

This one features an interview with Dunegan conducted on **October 10, 1991** with **Ms. Randy Engel**.

Per the YouTube link, Randy Engel is the Director of the US Coalition for Life. Here is more on Ms. Engel.



Stephen Stone
The most egregious lies
Evan McMullin and the
media have told about
Sen. Mike Lee



Siena Hoefling
Protect the Children:
Update with VIDEO



Stephen Stone
Flashback: Dems' fake
claim that Trump and
Utah congressional
hopeful Burgess Owens
want 'renewed nuclear
testing' blows up when
examined



Joan Swirsky
Looking for answers to
the autism epidemic in
all the wrong places



Jerry Newcombe
Fake oath-taking:
chipping away at our
nation's Judeo-Christian
foundation



Louie Verrecchio
Consensual sex with
minors: Does Fr. Martin
approve?



Matt C. Abbott
McCarrick-on-Geneva
Lake, Wisconsin

Randy Engel column

Randy Engel, one of the nation's top investigative reporters, began her journalistic career shortly after her graduation from the University of New York at Cortland, in 1961. A specialist in Vietnamese history and folklore, in 1963, she became the editor of *The Vietnam Journal*, the official publication of the Vietnam Refugee and Information Services, a national relief program in South Vietnam for war refugees and orphans based in Dayton, Ohio. She recorded for the Voice of America and Radio Saigon. In 1970, she received the Distinguished Service Medal for "exceptional and meritorious service to Vietnam."



[Enlarge](#)

In addition to her writings and relief work on behalf of the VRIS, in the mid-1960s, Randy Engel developed an intense interest in pro-life issues including population control, abortion and eugenics, putting her on the ground floor of the emerging Pro-Life Movement. In 1972, she founded the U.S. Coalition for Life in Pittsburgh, Pa., an international pro-life research and investigative agency, and began editing the USCL's official publication, the *Pro-Life Reporter*. Her four-year study on the eugenic policies and programs of the March of Dimes titled "Who Will Defend Michael?" quickly put the USCL on the map as the finest pro-life research agency in the U.S.

Her investigative findings documenting the rise of the federal government's anti-life programs at home and abroad served as the basis for her testimony before Congressional hearings in the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate. Randy Engel's groundbreaking investigative findings related to US/AID abortion and sterilization programs in Latin and South America, Asia, and Africa were instrumental in bringing about major pro-life changes in the Agency for International Development's foreign assistance programs.

Many of her original research publications for the USCL including *A March of Dimes Primer: the History of Eugenic Abortion*, and *The Pathfinder Fund: A Study of US/AID Anti-Life Funding* have become pro-life classics and continue to enjoy wide circulation.

In 1995, the veteran pro-life researcher exposed the long-standing eugenic abortion record of Henry Foster, President Bill Clinton's nominee for U.S. Surgeon General, resulting in the Senate's failure to approve the nomination.

Sex Education: The Final Plague, Randy Engel's first full-length book on the sexual conditioning of Catholic school children was published by Human Life International (Baltimore, MD) in 1989 and later by Tan Publishers (Rockville, IL). Her second book, *The McHugh Chronicles: Who Betrayed the Pro-Life Movement?* was published in 1997, while she continued to gather research material and conduct interviews for *The Rite of Sodomy*.

Alrighty! I've introduced the three characters in today's story, and we even have an image of the Ohio River-overlooking snazzy Le Mont Restaurant in Pittsburgh...which would have then been a nine year old establishment.

So far so good?

If you have the time, I highly recommend playing the video and following along with the text. *Amazing* how much nuance is communicated with the actual spoken word.

I had this face 🤪 while listening and reading the materials which present a direct and personal window, albeit second hand, as to the ideology and methods of the rich maniacs who have run and controlled the world for decades now.

It is as though a ghost from the past foretells and explains the grand plans that have brought us right where we are right now.

Everything is a rich man's trick.

Here again is the linked blog where I was first exposed to the Richard Day prognosticating testimony.

Back in 1969, Dr Richard Day made some astonishing predictions about where the world would be today

Posted on [June 8, 2015](#) by [Henri Thibodeau](#)

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them during that lecture. Something which Dr Dunegan said he found unusual for a professor to ask of his audience. The reason, Dr Day implied, was that there would be negative repercussions – possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group.

Dr Day apparently also told the group that what he was about to say “would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand,” something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr Day spoke.



Dr. Richard Day taught at Mount Sinai 1968 until he retired in 1971. Earlier he had been national medical director of Planned Parenthood (1965-1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh (1956 to 1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics in the Downstate Medical School in Brooklyn (1953 to 1956); and an associate professor at the College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University (1935 to 1953) | *New York Times* Obituary, June 16, 1989

Transcripts and audio links for Tape #1 and Tape #2.



Sage's Newsletter

"Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now." In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Depopulation Global Agenda Would Unfold

editor's note: Post is way too long for email, click through for full content. Well this was wild. Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the chess pieces around. The following is an extended audiotaped account recollecting a...

[Read more](#)

3 years ago · 146 likes · 219 comments · Sage Hana



Sage's Newsletter

"If population growth didn't slow down, food shortages could be created in a hurry." In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Globalist Agendas Would Unfold

Re-Introduction Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the chess pieces around. The following is an extended audiotaped account recollecting a 1969 talk given by an ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director, Richard Day. Day was preparing his audience of physicians for what was to come. He asked them not to take notes or record hi...

[Read more](#)

3 years ago · 122 likes · 157 comments · Sage Hana

Formatted from source materials here.

Text transcripts:

<https://100777.com/nwo/barbarians#1>

Audio files (download MP3s to the right of the screen and YouTube links in body):

<https://drrichardday.wordpress.com/audio/>

Note that this transcript is not perfect, and I did go back in and try to clean up a few points, but it's one hour and thirty seven minutes, and it's just too much

The two do talk over each other a good bit, as well. But it's pretty close.

Again, if you can listen and read along, it is far more digestible, even transcript lapses aside.

Man...just a quick aside. I remember when I used to enjoy the show *Mad Men* from that same general time frame leading up to this Day meeting. The show definitely captured the vague "weirdness" of America.

And now I can just see the Creative Intelligence setting up Incentive Structures and hoops to jump through, and the rats trying to figure out the maze. (This is that pre-verbal stuff that I am not great at relaying to you dear readers, apologies.)



Tape #3

Dr Richard Day. New Order of Barbarians - Tape 3



Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, 1991; Recollecting Dr. Richard Day's 1969 Presentation, Interviewed by Randy Engel

Randy Engel (R.E.): Why don't we open up with a little bit about the man you are talking about on these tapes. Just a little profile and a little bit about his education and particularly his relationship with the population control establishment. I think that probably was his entrée into much of this information.

Dr. Lawrence Dunegan (DLD): Yeah. Dr. Day was the Chairman of the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh from about 1959 '64, about that period of time, and then he left the University of Pittsburgh and went to fill the position of Medical Director of Planned Parenthood Federation of America.

R.E: And that was what... about 1965 to '68, about that period?

D.L.D: About '64 or '65 'til about '68 or '69, and then he left there... I don't know specifically why, I did not know him intimately. We were, you know, more than acquainted... I was a student and he would see me at lectures and, s

knew my name as a student, probably corrected some of my test scores a that sort of thing. Of course, I knew him as lecturer - would stand in front the auditorium and listen as he talked about diseases... and take notes.

R.E: What's interesting is that this man is not as well known, I think to our listeners as names like Mary Calderone and *Alan Guttmacher.

Alan Frank Guttmacher

🌐 2 languages

Article Talk

Read Edit View history T

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Alan Frank Guttmacher (May 19, 1898 – March 18, 1974) was an American [obstetrician/gynecologist](#). He served as president of [Planned Parenthood](#) and [vice-president of the American Eugenics Society](#).^[1] Guttmacher founded the American Association of Planned Parenthood Physicians, now known as the [Association of Reproductive Health Professionals](#), as a forum for physicians to discuss the [birth control pill](#) and other advances in the field. He founded the [Association for the Study of Abortion](#) in 1964. He was a member of the [Association for Voluntary Sterilization](#). The [Guttmacher Institute](#) is named after him.

In 1973, Guttmacher was one of the signers of the [Humanist Manifesto II](#).^[2]

Alan Frank Guttmacher

President of Planned Parenthood

In office

June 20, 1962 – April 13, 1968

Preceded by [Margaret Sanger](#)

Personal details

Born May 19, 1898

Died March 18, 1974

R.E: They were both medical directors at one time or another for Planned Parenthood, but Dr. Day was not well known. And as a matter of fact when we went back into the SIECUS archives there was very little information that had his actual name on it. So he was not one of the better known of the medical directors, but I'd say he probably had the scoop of what was going on as well as if not better - than any of the others before or after he came. What was he doing? Can you describe the scene of this particular lecture, the approximate date, and what was the occasion - and then a little bit about the audience?

D.L.D: Oh yeah, this was the... the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society holds about 12 meetings each year where we have some speaker come in and talk about a medical topic related to pediatrics and this was our spring meeting. It's always late February or early part of March. This was in **March, 1969** and it was held at a restaurant called Le Mont which is well known in Pittsburgh. Beautiful place, overlooks the confluence of the Ohio River forms their width with the confluence with the Allegheny...very pretty place.

In attendance, I would say somewhere in the neighborhood of 80 people. Mostly physicians, if not exclusively physicians. Predominantly pediatric particularly pediatric surgeons and pediatric radiologists - other people who were involved in medical care of children, even though they might not be pediatricians as such.

R.E: And the speech was given after the meal, I presume?

D.L.D: A very nice meal and everyone was settled down, quite comfortable quite filled and really an ideal state to absorb what was coming.

R.E: But when you listen to the tape, he says some of the most... well not outrageous things, but things you would think a pediatrician would kind of almost jump out of his seat at... for example when he mentions the cancer cures. There were probably doctors in the audience who were perhaps treating a child or knowing of a child who was in need of a particular cancer cure to hear that some of these prescriptions for or treatments for cancer were sitting over at the Rockefeller Institute, and yet, as far as I got from the tape everyone just kind of sat there... didn't say very much. I mean he was talking about falsifying scientific data and everyone just kind of yawns and... How long did this speech go on?

D.L.D: Two hours. He spoke for over two hours which was longer than most of our speakers go and one of the interesting things... he hasn't finished, it was getting late and he said, **"there's much much more, but we could be here all night but it's time to stop"**.

And I think that's significant, that there was much more that we never heard. In the beginning of the presentation, I don't know whether I mentioned that at the introduction of the first tape or not, but somewhere in the beginning of it he said, **"You will forget most or much of what I'm going to tell you tonight"**.

And at the time I thought, well, sure, that's true. We tend to forget. You know when somebody talks for hours you forget a lot of what they say. But, there is something as the power of suggestion and I can't say for sure but I do wonder if

may not have been a suggestion when we were all full of a nice dinner and relaxed and listening - we took that suggestion and forgot, because I know a number of my colleagues who were there when I would - some years later say, **"Do you remember when Dr. Day said this, or he said that or said the other?"** They'd say, **"Well, yeah, I kind of... is that what he said? You know kind of remember that"**.

But most were not very impressed, which to me was surprising because... use the example of cancer cures. But he said a number of things that...

R.E: Like doctors making too much money...?

D.L.D: Yeah, changing the image of the doctor. You're just going to be a high paid technician rather than a professional who exercises independent judgment on behalf of his independent patient. A number of things that I thought should have been offensive and elicited a reaction from physicians because they were physicians. I was surprised at how little reaction there was to it. And then other things that I would have expected people to react to because they were human beings and I think most of the people at the meeting subscribed more or less to the Judeo-Christian ethic and codes of behavior, and that was violated right and left. And particularly one of my friends I thought would be as disturbed as I was about this just sort of stuff wasn't disturbed at all. I thought, gee, this is surprising.

R.E: Was part of it also because of his prominence? I mean he was...

D.L.D: The authority... Authority figure? Yeah, I think there might be some there. This is the authority. We sort of owe some deference here.

R.E: And he couldn't possibly mean what he's saying or there couldn't possibly be any... I mean, he's such a good guy.

D.L.D: I've often heard that phrase, "He's such a good guy. I can't believe he actually mean the things"... I can only speculate about this. But I do think at the time there was an element of disbelief about all of this. Thinking, well,

is somebody's fairy tale plan but it will never really happen because it's too outlandish. Of course we know step by step it is indeed happening right under our feet.

R.E: Before talking about the specific areas, I think there's a lot of benefits to this tape. One of them is when we have a good idea of what the opposition is about and the techniques he's using - then you can turn around and begin your resistance to all the types of manipulations and so forth. So I think that the... seeing that there were four or five "theme songs" - he kept repeating over and over again.

For example this business which I think is so important... that people fail to distinguish between the ostensible reason and the real reason. In other words if you want someone to do something and you know that initially he'll be balky at doing that because it's against his morals or against his religious beliefs, you have to substitute another reason that will be acceptable. And then, after he accepts it and it's a fait accompli then there's just no turning back.

D.L.D: Right. It was in that connection that he said, "People don't ask the right questions." Too trusting. And this was directed, as I recall, mostly at Americans. I had the feelings he thought Europeans maybe were more skeptical and more sophisticated. That Americans are too trusting and don't ask the right questions.

R.E: With regard to this lack of... almost a lack of discernment. I guess that's basically what he was saying. They were easily tricked or too trusting. The one thing that flashed through my mind rather quickly, for example in school how quickly so-called AIDS education was introduced.

It did amaze me because if a group stated publicly that they wanted to introduce the concept of sodomy or initiate sex earlier and earlier in children and that was the reason given, most parents I presume wouldn't go for that. You have to come up with another reason and of course the reason for that

called AIDS education was to protect children from this disease. But actually as it turns out, it's really been a great boon for the homosexual network, because through various things like Project Ten they now have access to children from the youngest years.

These programs are going on from K-12 and I imagine well into college and beyond, so that they are reaching a tremendous segment. Speaking of children, I gather that this speaker... he kept on making the point about, you old people, they're going to go by the wayside, so I presume that the emphasis for these controllers for this New World Order is really an emphasis on youth.

D.L.D: Absolutely. Yes. Emphasis on youth. This was stated explicitly. People beyond a certain age... they're set in their ways and you're not going to change them. They have values and they're going to stick to them. But you get to youth when they're young, they're pliable. You mold them in the direction you want them to go. This is correct. They're targeting the young. They figure old fogies that don't see it our way, you're going to be dying off or when the time comes we're going to get rid of you. But it's the youngsters we have to mold in the impression we want."

Now something on homosexuality I want to expand on, I don't think this is out on the original tape, but there was, first of all, **"We're going to promote homosexuality."** And secondly **"We recognize that it's bizarre abnormal behavior. But, this is another element in the law of the jungle, because people who are stupid enough to go along with this are not fit to inhabit the planet and they'll go by the wayside".**

I'm not stating this precisely the way he said it, but it wasn't too far from where there was some mention of diseases being created. And when I remember the one statement and remember the other statement, I believe AIDS is a disease which has been created in the laboratory and I think the one purpose it serves is to get rid of people who are so stupid as to go along with our homosexual program. Let them wipe themselves out.

Now it's hard for me make clear how much of it is I'm remembering with confidence and how much is pure speculation. But as I synthesize this - I think what happens... **"If you're dumb enough to be convinced by our promotion of homosexuality you don't deserve a place and you're going by the wayside sooner or later. We'll be rid of you. We'll select out... the people who will survive are those who are also smart enough not to be deluded by our propaganda"**. Does that make sense?

R.E: Well, it certainly makes sense for them. And I think also this early social initiation has the over all purpose which I think we'll get to in depth a little later. But of the sexualization of the population... when he said on the tape basically, "Anything goes", I think that is what we're seeing. It's not so much that, let's say, someone may not adopt the homosexual style for himself, but as a result of the propaganda he certainly will be a lot more tolerant of that kind of behavior too.

So it's a desensitization, even for the individual who doesn't go over and accept it for himself.

D.L.D: With the power of propaganda you dare not be against homosexuality otherwise you get labeled homophobe. You dare not be against any of our programs for women, otherwise you're a male chauvinist pig. It's like anti-Semitism. If this label gets enough currency in the culture that people get shockingly stuck with it. It's easier to keep quiet.

R.E: Another theme was this business about "CHANGE". And I want to get change in relation to religion and family, but during the period of hearing the tape, I remember going to a MASS and they happened to have at that point DANCING GIRLS FROM THE ALTER. So when I was sitting and getting a chance to listen to the tape I thought, as a Catholic that has been... if you think about effective change, that has been probably the most difficult and the hardest thing has been to watch our traditional Mass, those things which Catholics have practiced and believed for so long and... at about that time the speech was given which was about late 1969, everything had begun to turn

over on its head, so much so that I think many people feel now when they go into a church where there is the Novus Ordo, I think you're almost in a state of constant anxiety because you're not quite sure... What am I going to encounter now?

You look at the little song book; of course that's changed radically and you see instead of brethren, you see people; or you might see something odd happening up at the altar which is now the "table".

The notion of God as eternal and the teachings of Jesus Christ as eternal, therefore the teachings of the church as eternal depends on the authority of God, and God brings about change in God's way. What this boils down to is what these people say, "No, we take the place of God; we establish what will change and what will not change, so if we say that homosexuality or anything is immoral today... wasn't yesterday, but it is today. We have said so, and there it is moral. We can change tomorrow. We can make it immoral again tomorrow". And this is the usurpation of the role of God to define what the common person, the ordinary person's supposed to believe.

D.L.D: So, the idea is, that if everybody is used to change most people are going to ask, "Well who has decided what should be changed and how it should be changed"? Most people just go along with it, like hemlines, and styles and that sort of thing. So it IS a usurpation of the Rule of God, and if you read the Humanist Manifesto, and somewhere early in the introductory part of it, they say, "human intellect is the highest good". Well, to any human being what you call the highest good, that's your god. So to these people human intellect being the highest good is god. And where does human intellect reside? Well, in the brain of one or more human beings. So these people, in effect... I don't know think they'd be so candid as to say so, but whether they know it or not what they're saying is, "I am god. WE are gods, because we decide what is moral what is moral tomorrow, what is going to be moral next year. WE determine change."

R.E: That's right. And of course, in a nutshell, you've just explained the huge potential, the New Age, all the new esoteric movements that we've seen. With regard to change, he seemed to acknowledge that there were a couple of entities which traditionally blocked this change and therefore made people resistant to constant manipulation.

And of course one of those is the family, and that would include grandmothers, grandfathers, our ethnic background and so forth and I guess I was impressed by everything he seemed to mention whether it was economics, music... had the overall effect of diminishing the family and enhancing the power of the state.

That was a constant theme, and therefore when we're evaluating things I think one of the things we should generally say to ourselves is, "What effect does that have on family life, and the family and I think if every congressman or senator asked that question we probably wouldn't have much action up on Capitol Hill, because almost everything coming down the pike has an effect of disavowing, hurting the family life and enhancing and expanding the power of government.

D.L.D: It has an ostensible purpose, and then it has a REAL purpose.

R.E: Yes, and as a so-called helping professional your ability to say that is interesting. The other factor is this whole factor of religion, and he was talking basically about a religion without dogma, a religion that would have a little bit from all the other traditional religions so no one would really feel uncomfortable, and he said, rather condescendingly, some people need that and if they need it we'll manufacture something that they need. But of course it can't be anything that would declare anything that were moral absolute or the natural law. Which means that the main target of this group of control, of course, was and is the Roman Catholic Church and he mentioned the Roman Catholic Church specifically.

D.L.D: Religion's important because it is eternal and we... people who would follow the church will not buy our rules about change. But if we make our religion, if we define what is religion then we can change it as it suits us. the Roman Catholic Church... I was kind of flattered sitting here as a catholic hearing it pointed out that the church is the one obstacle that, he said, "We have to change that. And once the Roman Catholic Church falls, the rest of Christianity will fall easily".

R.E: I notice that, as the conversation went on, he said, "Now you may think Churches will stand in the way, but I want to tell you that they will HELP and he didn't say they will help us, all except the Roman Catholic Church said, "They will help us", and unfortunately...

D.L.D: He was right.

RE: He didn't say this explicitly, but again it was one of those themes that came through... he apparently thought the use of words was really important because he mentioned this with regard to a number of things, like the Bill. The very same as the psychiatrist, Miralu(?), mentioned that "if you want to control the people, you control the language first". Words are weapons. He apparently knew that very well and I think the controllers as a whole know this very well. Of course, it's part of their campaign.

But that little statement about words, that "words will be changed". When I heard that I thought... "Instead of saying 'alter' you say 'table'. Instead of 'sacrifice' you say 'meal' with regard to the Mass", and people say, "That's important". Of course, you know that's VERY important, otherwise, why would they bother to change it? Otherwise, why go through all this rigmarole if it's important? It's obviously important for them because they know WITH THE CHANGING OF WORDS YOU CHANGE IDEAS.

D.L.D: They're exerting a lot of effort and time to change it and they're not exerting effort on things that are NOT important, so yes, you're absolutely right. The priest no longer has the role... in some cases he no longer has the

role the priest formerly had. Because words carry meaning. There's the dictionary definition, but I think we all know that certain words carry meaning that is a little bit hard to put into words... but they carry meaning.

So yes, controlling the language... you THINK in your language. You think yourself in English or Spanish or whatever language you're familiar with when you think, you talk to yourself and you talk to yourself in words, just the way you talk to other people. And if you can control the language with which one person speaks to himself or one person speaks to another you've gone a long way towards controlling what that person is ABLE - what he is CAPABLE of thinking, and that has both an inclusionary and an exclusionary component to it. You set the tone....

R.E: Take the word GAY, for example. I have some old tapes by Franz Lehár where he talks about the GAY Hussars, you know... the happy soldiers... and now we couldn't quite use that same word, could you? But you know, the word homosexual, sodomite has been replaced with the term "gay", represents an ideology not only a word and when you use it, it's tacit to saying, "Yes, I agree with what your interpretation of this is".

D.L.D: They probably had a committee working for months to pick which word they were going to use for this. The word "gay" carries a connotation, first of all, which is inaccurate. Most homosexuals are not at all gay. They tend to be pretty unhappy people. Despite all the publicity that tells them they can be happy and should feel comfortable with what they're doing, most of them deep down inside don't... (both talking at the same time here).

R.E: I suppose they're going to come up with a sadophobia for those who have a hang-up about sadomasochism and a pedophobia for those who have difficulties with pedophilia, so we can just look forward to this I think. I guess we can look forward to it to the extent we permit ourselves... that we permit the opposition to have access to the brain.

D.L.D: And to dictate the truth WE use. Sex education is NOT education. It's conditioning, and we should never use the term "sex education". It's a misnomer. If they control the vocabulary, then they can control the way we can think and the way we can express ideas among ourselves and to anyone. But "sex conditioning", "sex initiation" is much more accurate and we should insist on that. We should never use terms "homophobia" and "gay". Homosexual is homosexual. It's not at all gay.

R.E: That's right. In fact we're probably going to have to do some homework on... probably of all the popular movements in the U.S. Probably the pro-life movement is the most sensitive to words.

Talking about media events and access to the brain, I remember the first speech Bush gave in which he talked about the New World Order... I remember jumping halfway off my seat. That term. Here he is, the president, saying World Order as if it was something everyone knew about. And someone looking across the room said, "I heard that. What did he say"? And I said, "I said, 'New World Order'!" And they said, "What does that mean? Why is that extraordinary?"

So, I think one of the weapons we have against the controllers is that if we cut off his access to our mind then we have a shot at escaping the manipulation, if not totally - at least escape a portion of the manipulation. Remember, one of the books on Chinese POWs pointed out that some of the survivors in order NOT to be brainwashed broke their eardrums. And in that way - not being able to hear - the enemy could not have access to their brains and therefore they were able to survive where others did not.

And in our popular culture we have a number of things... TV and radio probably primarily, that are the constant means by which the opposition has access to our brain and to our children's brains. So I think the logical conclusion, and one of the common-sense conclusions is that if you don't want the enemy to have access you have to cut off the lines of access... we

would be in homes to simply either eliminate altogether, or control by other forms....

D.L.D: Take the networks at their word. They say, "if you don't like our programming, turn it off". And we should. We should say, "Yeah. You're right. And we should turn it off. And let the advertisers spend their money on an audience that isn't there.

As a pediatrician I'm always interested in how kids do things and how kids are like adults, and whether you're talking about International politics where one nation goes to war with another or kids on the playground, there are certain things that are common. It's just that kids on the playgrounds do it on a smaller scale. But you mention cutting off access to your brain... somebody says, I don't want to hear it. And I remember hearing kids on a playground somebody says..."ya-na-na na naa-na", and they're teasing the kid... What do? He puts his hands over his ears. Says I'm not going to listen. And the one who's trying to torment him will try to pull his hands away and be sure that he listens. And it's the same....

R.E: Words. Words entering. And the child knows. Words have meaning. They're hurting him.

D.L.D: Goebbels knew it. Lenin knew it. CBS knows it. It's interesting; the principle stands - across the board. It just gets more complicated as you get older. More sophisticated. But watch kids on a playground and you'll learn a whole lot about adults.

R.E: Yes. We're all nodding our heads at that one. This Dr. Day was very much into the whole population control establishment, and he was of course in favor of abortion. But as he started talking about the aged and euthanasia I recall one of the population- control books saying that birth control without death control was meaningless.

And one of the advantages in terms... if one was favorable toward the killing of the aged... one of the favorable things is in fact abortion for the simple reason

that – universally speaking – abortion has the result of bringing about a rather inordinate chopping off of population at the front end. That is, at the birth end. And the inevitable effect is that you will have a population that is top heavy with a rapidly aging population which is the current state in the United States.

So, inevitably, if you are going to go about killing the young, especially at the pace we seem to have adapted ourselves to in this country, then invariably you're going to have to do something about all those aging populations. Because, the few children who are born, after all, they cannot be expected to carry this tremendous burden of all these people. So you're cutting one end and therefore, inevitably, as you pointed out on the tape, he was saying, "these few young people who are permitted to be born will feel this inevitable burden on them and so they'll be more desensitized."

They'll be more warmed up to the idea of grandma and grandpa having a little party and then shuffle them off to wherever they shuffle off to. And whether it's taking the "demise" pill or going to a death camp, or....

D.L.D: There was a movie out sometime back called "Soylent Green". Remember that movie? I didn't see the whole movie, but Edward G. Robinson liked to sit in the theatre and listen to Beethoven's Pastoral Symphony as he was to take his demise pill.

R.E: That's right. He also made the point that the food the people were eating was killing each other. But as he said, as long as it's done with dignity and humanely... like putting away your horse.

D.L.D: That's a little bit like pornography. Years back kids would come across pornography. It was always poor photography and cheap paper. Then Playboy came out with the glossy pages and really good photography, so then pornography is no longer cheap. It's respectable. We went to a movie at the Pittsburgh Playhouse. I took my son along. It was the Manchurian Candidate. During the previews of the things that are going to come there was a title

don't remember but it was (inaudible) in technicolor with classical music the background.

And it was a pornographic movie. And I said, well, if you have a guitar th pornography; but if you have classical movie then it converts it into art. I pornography.

It's an example of what you were saying. As long as it's done with dignity that's what counts. If you kill someone with dignity, it's ok. If you have pornography with classical music it's art. That was the point I was trying make.

R.E: Again, talking about the family. Currently I know there are an awful people who are out of jobs and he [Dr. Day] had quite a lot of things to say about, for example, heavy industry. I guess the shock was that this man.. wasn't surprised that he knew a lot about population control, abortion, at the other end — euthanasia.

But what DID surprise me was that he was an individual who was talking about religion, law, education, sports, entertainment, food... how could one individual have that much input? Now one could say, "well, it didn't pan out. But we know listening to these recollections twenty years later... except perhaps for some minor things, everything that he has said has come to pass and almost beyond imagination. How COULD one individual talk with such authoritative, non-questioning... that this was the way THIS was going to happen and THIS was going to happen in "fashion" and THIS was going to happen on TV and there were going to be video recorders before I ever heard the word.

D.L.D: I think what happens... certainly one individual hears this, but they are by no means made by one or a small number of individuals. Just as industrial corporations which have a board of directors, with people from all sorts of activities who sit on the board of this corporation, and they say, "if we do this to our product, or if we expand in this area what will that do

banking? What will that do to clothing? What will that do... what impact, ripple effect will that have on other things?" And I'm sure that whoever made these plans they have representatives from every area you can think of.

So they'll have educators, they'll have clothing manufacturers - designers, architects... across the board. I'm sure they get together and have meetings and plan and everybody puts in his input, just the way a military operation goes. What will the Navy do? Will they bombard the shore? What will the Air Force do? Will they come in with air cover? What will the infantry do? It's the same thing. These people, when they plan, they don't miss a trick.

They have experts in every field and they say, "Well, if we do this, that and the other.. John, what will that do to your operation?" And John will be in position to feed back, "Well this is what I think will happen." So it certainly covers a broad range of people. And for one individual to be able to say all of this in two hours that he spoke to us, really tells us that he was privy to a lot of information.

R.E: That's right. He must have been sitting in on one of those boardroom meetings at least at some point. And I think not at the highest level from his position, but high enough, because anyone in the population control would be associated with the names of foundations... powerful foundations, powerful organizations...

D.L.D: And I'm sure there was a lot in the plans that he never heard. He was a four-star general in this outfit. He wouldn't be in on the whole story.

R.E: Well, too bad he couldn't have talked for six hours instead of two, and he might have had a lot more information. There was another aspect that I found fascinating in listening to this. This whole aspect of privacy... he mentioned that as the private homes went by we would have individuals, non-family members perhaps sharing our apartments.

As I understand that is becoming more popular out in California. Could California and New York being the coast states, did he say... That's right.. PORT cities that bring in things so that they can eventually work their way

middle America. But this is about privacy. When he was talking, for example about the area of sex, he made some interesting remarks. One of them that came like a ton of bricks was this business about; "We must be open about sex. As if there can't be any fear of the person that does not hesitate to open up to the public. Now, if you look at these so-called sex initiation programs in the schools where the children are forced either through writing or through verbal expression to talk about all aspects of the sexual sphere...

[end of side one ends abruptly - side two follows]

D.L.D: of our right to investigate even your sex life. Your money will be on the computer. We'll have it all on computer. We'll know more about it than you do. But we have to form a generation where the most intimate activity which two people can have is public, or can be public. Therefore, it's harder to have any private thoughts and you can't buck the system if everything you think and do is public knowledge. But the planners won't be that open about their own lives. They'll reserve their privacy. It's for the rest of us.

R.E: Yes. Just like their listening to concerts and operas, but for the mass media they're pumping in hard rock. That was another fascinating thing. For example, the... and I know this has come to pass because I deal with a lot of young people... the young people have their own radio stations for their needs and adults have their own and never the twain shall meet. And when they meet there's usually a clash. And I think the same is probably true with a lot of classical movies. I can remember when I was growing up and my dad had the radio on, I think it was a kind of general music. I didn't say, "Dad, I don't like that music; turn to another station". Whereas now there is a fabricated generational gap which puts the family at the disadvantage.

D.L.D: And it creates conflict within the family, which is one of the spin-off benefits to them. If you're constantly fussing at your kids, you don't like the music they're playing, and they're constantly fussing at you because they like what you're playing... that does bad things to the bonds of affection that you would like to be nurtured in the family.

R.E: It would appear, that any resistance movement against the population controllers would probably be based on families strengthening themselves a number of ways. One of them being to make sure that children know about grandma and grandpa and where did they come from and developing a whole... getting out the family albums and making sure that children know they have roots, first of all. And secondly, that their family is stable. One father, one mother, with children, with grandfathers. Those of us who have them should hold on to them.

Toward the end of the tape there was a reference - at the time everything would be coming together - how this New World Order would be introducing a population which, at this point I think they would assume would be acceptable to it.... how was this put? We're just going to wake up one morning and changes would just be there? What did he say about that?

D.L.D: It was presented in what must be an over-simplified fashion, so with some qualifications, here's the recollections I have... That in the winter, at that time there was importance to the winter - on a weekend, like on a Friday an announcement would be made that this was or about to be in place... That the New World Order was now the System for the World and we all owe this World Order our allegiance.

And the reason for winter is that - and this was stated - people are less prone to travel in the winter, particularly if they live in an area where there's ice and snow. In summer it's easier to get up and go. And the reason for the weekend is, people who have questions about this, Saturday and Sunday everything is closed and they would not have an opportunity to raise questions, file a petition and say no.

And just that period over the weekend would allow a desensitizing period so that when Monday came and people had an opportunity maybe to express some reservations about it, or even oppose it... there would have been 48 hours to absorb the idea and get used to it.

R.E: What about those who decided they didn't want to go along?

D.L.D: Somewhere in there it was that... because this is a "New Authority" it represents a change, then, from where your allegiance was presumed to be. People would be called on to publicly acknowledge their allegiance to the authority. This would mean to sign an agreement or in some public way acknowledge that you accepted this... authority. You accepted its legitimacy and there were two impressions I carried away. If you didn't... and I'm not sure whether the two impressions are necessarily mutually exclusive because it wasn't explored in great detail... one of them was that you would simply have nowhere to go.

If you don't sign up then you can't get any electric impulses in your bank account and you won't have any electric impulses with which to pay your electric, or your mortgage or your food, and when your electric impulses are gone, then you have no means of livelihood.

R.E: Could you get these things from other people, or would that be... in other words, let's say if you had a sympathetic family...

D.L.D: No you could not because the housing authority would keep close tabs on who is inhabiting any domicile. So the housing authority would be sure that everybody living there was authorized to live there.

R.E: Could I get some food?

D.L.D: Your expenditures, through electronic surveillance would be pretty tightly watched so if you were spending too much money at the supermarket somebody would pick this up and say, "How come? What are you doing with all that food? You don't look that fat. You don't have that many people. We know you're not entertaining. What are you doing with all that food?" And these things then would alert the...

R.E: I have seven people in my basement who object to the New World Order and I'm feeding them and then they said, well, one has to go.

D.L.D: They don't belong there and you can't feed them and since you're sympathetic to them, maybe your allegiance isn't very trustworthy either

R.E: Yes. We see this... I think the Chinese experience tells us a great deal about certain things. For example, when they wanted to enforce the "One family"... they cut off all education for the second child. Your food rations cut so you couldn't get the right amount of food, and if they found ways a that, they instituted compulsory abortions and compulsory plugging in o IUD's.

Somewhere in the tape this business about "People can carry two conflic ideas around - or even espouse two conflicting ideas as long as they don' two close together". And what immediately came to mind is... here we ha organization like Planned Parenthood... "freedom to choose", yet they sup population control programs which is of course NOT the freedom to choc And then when they're called into account and someone says, "Now wait minute here. You're, 'freedom to choose - freedom to choose' here, but you supporting the Chinese program which is compulsory.

I remember a statement from the late Allen Guttmacher, one of the medic directors of Planned Parenthood and he said, "Well, if people limit their families and do what we say, fine. But if we need compulsory population control, we're going to have it."

What would happen with people who wouldn't go along, and particularly point about, "There wouldn't be any martyrs"? That was significant, beca recall having watched some movies about the Third Reich that many tin they would come late in the evening and people would be taken from the home, but neighbors would never ask, "Where did they go?" They knew v they went!

D.L.D: Solzhenitsyn mentions that in the Gulag Archipelago.

R.E: I think this is very similar to what we would see. People would just disappear and you would not ask because it might endanger yourself or y

family. But you would know where they went. If you ask questions, you d
attention to yourself and then you might follow them to where they went
you mind your own business and step over the starving man on the stree
didn't go along.

D.L.D: He didn't go into detail about precisely how this would come about
it's not too hard to imagine. Yes. In the past, the Nazi's came, the Commu
came in the middle of the night, people just disappeared and one simple
to do this is that if you're cut off from all economic support and you have
place to live and nothing to eat... we already see a lot of homeless now.

I just had a man in the office this morning talking about he and his child
seeing people living in boxes in downtown Pittsburgh today. When the N
World Order is here and you're living in a box, we can't have people litteri
the place, so you come around in the wagon and you pick them up.

If your frame of mind as you're growing up and formed is that, "Human v
resides in being productive; you have to have a prestigious position or at
perform something useful - make a contribution", and the truck comes by
pick up some guy living in a box and he's not making any contribution, v
going to get excited about it? You know... he's sub-human; he's a fetus; he
zygote; he's a derelict, and fetuses and zygotes and derelicts are all the sa
animal. So what do you do with them? You dispose of them. Who gets ex
about it?

R.E: I recall that when the Chinese Communists came into power one of t
first things that they taught in schools was not any thoughts about speci
political ideology, but about evolution and that man was just an animal a
man was just an animal then we won't mind being herded and having
masters who keep tabs on the animals and we're one big ant colony and
got someone to direct traffic and...

Speaking of traffic. We talked about the aged and again - people hearing
tape, it's phenomenal how many times these things on this tape will hit y

just came back from New Jersey which has a lot of retirement-type villages and I've been there over a period of years and there's a structure around a retirement home which has been uncompleted for at least two or three years. Now they've recently completed it. It's kind of a roadway, but I think it would be easier to get out of a complex at a playground it is so complicated. And the whole area has elderly people driving.

And we are a fairly middle-aged couple and for the life of me we couldn't figure out how we were going to get out, what we were going to do and so I asked some of the residents... "Doesn't it bother you that they haven't fixed the road for years and now you can't just go across the street which would have been the logical thing?" You have to go down and they have a jug-handle you have to go over and under, so it takes you so long, and the woman replied to me, "Well you know, we just don't go out. We just don't go out".

So here we have this little retirement village where they've made it very difficult for a population, maybe several hundred homes in this plat with one exit and the exit involves such a great deal of bother, they say they judge down on the number of times they have to go out shopping.

D.L.D: Right away it makes me wonder... if it's difficult to get out, it's also difficult to get in probably for visitors.

R.E: These retirement homes sort of remind me of an elephant burial ground. The one thing you notice is that there are no children. There's not the laughter of children in these homes.

D.L.D: My experience has been, these people in the retirement homes, when they see a child they just blossom. They're really delighted to see a child. They're happy to have their sons and daughters come and other adults, but when they see a child - and it doesn't have to be their own - it has a very beneficial effect on their mood. And if these older people aren't seeing children, the other side of that coin is, the children aren't seeing older people either. So if you don't get used to seeing older people, they don't exist.

R.E: And that's why, with the family, making sure your children see their grandparents very often, no matter how much that entails, the trouble with logistics, etc... it's certainly worth while because, again if you never see someone and you don't learn to love them and you never have any contact with them, when someone says, "Well it's time for your grandpa to check it's like, "Who's that?"

Who's going to defend and fight for someone they never even saw before? I remember one of the phrases. So many of these things... you only have to see them once and they stick in your mind. It's so jarring.

We've already discussed "sex without reproduction", then you also said that technology would be there for "reproduction without sex" and this is a whole other area because it's contradictory. If a land is so overpopulated, then you would want to diminish sexual activity, get rid of pornography, get rid of everything that was sexually stimulating. But, no. It's a contrary. You want to increase sexual activity but only insofar as it doesn't lead to reproduction. That was the message, right?

D.L.D: Yes, and this is my own extension. He didn't say this, but that leads to slavery because if you become enslaved to your gratification, whether it's food or whatever, then you're more easily controlled, which is one of the reasons the celibate priesthood is so important. And so many priests don't even understand that. But if you're addicted to sex... if sex is divorced from reproduction, something you do for gratification only - I won't try to parallel that with food because you can't go without food - then you can be more controlled by the availability or the removal of the availability of sex.

So that can become an enslaving feature. Now, reproduction without sex, what you would get then would have all the desirable attributes of a human being without any claim to human rights. The way we do it now, we say, you're human because you have a father and mother... you have a family so you're a human being with human rights. But if your father was a petri dish and your mother was a test tube, how can you lay claim to human rights?

You owe your existence to the laboratory which conveys to you no human rights.

And there is no God, so you can't go for any God-given human rights, so you are an ideal slave. You have all the attributes of a human being but you don't have any claim on rights.

R.E: In "*Brave New World*" they had the caste system, the alphas, the omegas etc. The way they brought about the different caste systems was that in the decanting, or birthing rooms, the individual who was to do menial or slave labor... work in the mines... received just a little bit of oxygen to the brain so they learned to love their slavery and they were very happy.

They didn't know any better. They didn't have the wherewithal to do that but the higher in the caste you got, the more oxygen you got to your brain so we actually had a group of sub-human beings who loved their slavery. In the past slaves probably didn't love their slavery very much, but in this case, we have this technology which will make people love their slavery, and each caste loved being what they were in "*Brave New World*". And any of our listeners who hasn't read that recently...

D.L.D: You may remember the slogan that was above the Nazi concentration camps... something about, "Work is Peace and Work is Happiness". I don't remember if it was Buchenwald or Auschwitz. My recollection of words is not precise, but the idea is what counts. And here's Huxley, writing *Brave New World*, saying basically the same thing before Hitler was even in power, so Huxley knew something.

R.E: He came from a family that probably contributed at least in part to the New World Order. A number of the English authors... H.G. Wells... from that period and from those associations who highlighted the concepts of what was coming down the path.

I can remember reading *Brave New World* in high school, and thought, "This is this fantasy land". Thirty years later and I said, "This is scary". There see

be kind of a similarity between his writings and the talk given by Dr. Day because you get kind of a mixed message in *Brave New World*, that these things are not really good. It would be better if man still had a sense of his sense of privacy, if the family still existed.. but, it's inevitable. They're going to go. Too bad. I feel a little sorry about that. A little sentiment, but the New Order has to come in and we have to make room for it.

And I got that same impression from the things that were said about this tape. He wasn't real happy about some of the things, but they're going to come anyway, so make it easier on yourself. The more you accept it the easier it's going to be when it comes around, and I'm kind of doing you a favor - you physicians out there this evening - I'm going to make it easier for you by telling you in advance what's coming and you can make your own adjustments.

D.L.D: Somewhere in Scripture... I think it was after the flood, God said, "**I will write my law on man's hearts**", and I feel the same parallel that you do between Dr. Day's reaction to what he was exposed to and mine... seeming totally accepting of this. Huxley seeming not totally accepting of what he wrote about but both saying, "Well, there's a certain inevitability to all of this so let's try to talk about the best parts of it. It's going to be good for people. Technology will be better, quality of life will be better... so you live a few years shorter."

But they both do seem to send out messages not buying the whole package.

R.E: And maybe wishing some people would ask more questions. Looking back over history there are many individuals who had an idea of what a New World Order should be, certainly Hitler and Stalin did, but what was lacking during these periods is that they lacked the technology to carry many of the things out... surveillance, constant monitoring... but in this so-called New World Order it's going to be very difficult to escape because technology will provide those means which had been lacking those totalitarian individuals from years ago.

D.L.D: I can't remember on the original tapes, did I mention the phrase what he said, "This time we're going to do it right!" ?

R.E: No. You didn't.

D.L.D: There were so many details to remember. But when he mentioned bringing in the New World Order, he said, "**This time we're going to do it**

And right away, I'm wondering, "what do you mean, 'this time'?" There was no explicit explanation of that, but I think it's fairly easy to infer that previous efforts had to do with the Third Reich... Your point about the technology is critical with computers and all means of exchange being controlled by electronic impulse.

Nobody has any wealth. You own nothing of value except access to electronic impulses which are beyond your control. A cashless society. So when your reward for working is [nothing more than] impulses on the computer and your only claim you have is these impulses and the people who run the system give or take them as they choose. Up until this time there was no way that the statement in the Book of Revelation that said, "No man can buy or sell unless he has the mark of the beast"... there's no way that could have been enforced.

People could say I'll trade you a bushel of tomatoes for a bushel of wheat. Or you'll drive my kids to school I'll give you six ears of corn. Bartering. And even not going necessarily that primitive, there was always gold and silver and other forms of money that were even better than bartering. But with this cashless society, I believe this is the first time in the history of the human race where the entire population of the world can be controlled economically in a way that somebody can say, "**I pushed the right buttons and I know how much credit you have electronically; I know where you spend your money electronically; and you cannot buy, you cannot sell unless you get on my computer.**"

Right now you have a half a dozen credit cards in your pocket, but pretty soon it will be narrowed to one credit card and then when we... you know the

ostensible reason is that when people lose their credit cards and we have get rid of that and put the implant in... where it has to be accessible to the scanner... in your right hand or in your forehead.

R.E: Speaking of scanner. When we had the TV War..... the Gulf War? It was the first war where you just sit there and 24 hours a day just like being on the battlefield there. There were several points made about the advances in technology and how they could spot just one little individual down in... they used the constant reference to pinpoint... "pinpoint". I imagine with the different technologies they can also pinpoint a couple of renegades in the World Order. The technology which was applicable to a so-called 'enemy' could also be applicable to this controlling the order.

D.R.D: Exactly. It's infra-red stuff that's... I'm sort of amateurish about this but any heat source like a deer, a human being, a renegade... can be picked up by an infra-red scanner and you get sort of an outline of whether it's a deer or a sheep or whatever.

My first hearing about them was in the Vietnam War where our troops used them to detect the enemy. That's twenty-some years ago, so they're probably even more sophisticated now than they were then; but with this kind of surveillance it would be pretty hard for anybody to escape and say, "Well, I'm just going to go out into the mountains and be a hermit and escape the New World Order. I can shoot deer and eat berries and survive and I've got a wife who's pretty sturdy and she'll be able to survive and we'll do what the Indians did before Columbus got here and we'll all survive". The New World Order says, "No you won't because we're gonna find you".

R.E: Even in *Brave New World* they had a group of people who still lived in a family and the women breast-fed and they were called savages. But we won't have any savages. We're cultured, we'll be thin and our teeth will be straightened.

D.L.D: Something also that was mentioned; forests could — and if necessary, cities would — be leveled or burned. Now this comes out of this movement... go

mother earth, and how we have to protect the environment... but if we want to get someone who's trying to get away we'll burn down the whole forest. I can't find them. That was stated. Deforestation could be and would be brought about to make sure that nobody gets outside the control of the system.

R.E: We're drawing to a close here. How did you feel after... well, it's been 22 years now since that original lecture and there probably isn't a day that goes by - at least since I've heard the tape - that I don't think about the things that this Dr. Day said.

D.L.D: You get constant reminders. Not a day goes by something doesn't say "That reminds me of..." such and such, whether it's surveillance or security

R.E: ... or clothing. I opened up a toy catalogue the other day and noticed that it didn't happen to be any baby dolls in this toy catalogue... of course going back to the idea that we don't want little girls to be thinking about babies. They had one little doll and it was kind of an adult doll. And nothing that would raise anyone's maternal instincts. Well, Doc, what's the prognosis?

D.L.D: Left to man alone I think the technology is already here and with technological progress, I think it is inevitable -- if man is left to his own devices -- that some men will be able to assert total control over other men and other people. Man left to his own devices... the tendency is -- in groups like this, then -- is for internal dissension to arise where the leaders would be stabbing each other's throats too... each saying, "No, I'm more powerful than you. I deserve more than you".

R.E: Who will control the controllers?

D.L.D: Yeah. They would stab themselves. I think so. They would create their own seeds of destruction while they're creating the system. But the other thing I wonder if indeed this may be time for our Lord to come back and say "Enough's enough. Because you're going to destroy my planet earth. I am in charge of the planet. I'm in charge of mankind. Mankind will be destroyed

say. I will not allow my creatures to assume and exert this degree of control where you're going to destroy the whole thing.

R.E: What I was just thinking as you were just saying that is that in the past dictators could kill people, they could torture them, but essentially they could not change what it meant to be a human being. They could not change human nature. Now we are going to have with this new Genome Project, a multi-billion dollar project where they're going to be getting a tab on everyone's genes. No one shall escape. Everyone shall have their genetic codes and this opens the door to manipulation to change the very meaning of what MEANS to be human.

And if one has an entity then that no longer has free will, you just have to wonder if that point out Lord says, "Enough".

D.L.D: Just as Lucifer set himself up as God in the beginning, some people would set themselves up as God and say, "I control the computers, I control genomes, I control everything, I am God..." and at that point He would have say, "No, you are not! I have to demonstrate to you... you're NOT. I'm still God. You're just a creature" [human+Being]

RE: And as you said on the original tape, we believe in what our Lord has in that He will not leave us orphans. He will be with us 'til the end of time.

D.L.D: This right away now begs the questions, when they come around and say, "It's your turn to sign the allegiance form"... what are you going to do? When Henry the eighth came around and said, either sign here and join... while he was saying it they were throwing the noose over the limb of the tree, and slipping the noose around your neck and saying, "you want to sign this or do we slap the horse out from under you?" and a lot of people said won't sign it and they were martyred.

Despite his having said there will be no martyrs, certainly there will be martyrs. The implication of his statements were that they would not be recognized as martyrs, but there will be martyrs and they will be RECOGNIZED.

as martyrs. Maybe not the same way as in the past but I think this is something people should sort of prepare themselves for.

When I'm nose to nose with this choice, "either sign this allegiance or we going to put you in a boxcar and you're going out to Arizona, to the desert think we have to be prepared to make a decision.

R.E: I think it would be an understatement to say that this tape has great meaning and it's like a forewarning and it gives us ideas of things we should do and things we shouldn't do and I think everybody listening to the tape come up with things he can do on a small scale. I think that's the beauty of this thing. As he was talking... it wasn't real earth shattering things he was talking about. He was talking about little things. Television. Things that happen every day. Things that are under our control. The books we read.

And I think some of these changes if they are going to occur will occur with the individual person within that family, with him getting the word out and then doing the little things. I think they matter over the long haul, the macro.

D.L.D: Just as with the prisoners who survived the brainwashing, I think people who are Spiritually oriented, who are thinking about God, thinking about their relationship WITH God, are the ones who will then be better prepared or equipped to survive this world and the next. Whereas, those who are just focused on meeting their needs right now, strictly the material needs of the day, they're more easily controlled.

Under the threat of losing your comforts or losing your food or losing your head or whatever, certainly some people are going to yield, and those who think will survive and I really mean both in this life and the next - they're going to have to be the ones who are prepared because it's my belief when the time comes to make the decision... "Are you going to sign on or not?"... it's too late to begin preparation and start saying, "Well, let me think about this."

You won't have time to think about it. You're either going to say yes or no and I hope a lot of us make the right decision.

R.E: I do so too, and I think the tape will change as many lives and have hopefully as good an effect as it had on mine and on yours and so let me you very much. For further information please contact the U.S. Coalition Life; Box 315, Export, Penn 15632. Your comments and criticism and any c information which you might have regarding this tape will be most welc

Tape 4 is here:

The Day Tapes: Final Tape for the Final Solution

SAGE HANA • MAY 28, 2023

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record



The fourth and final installment of the Day Tapes does a remarkable job of summarizing r of the key points. This may be the most accessible one for the normies who can't fathom t notion of *"12-people in a room" determining the fate of the Planet.

[Read full story →](#)

<https://ko-fi.com/sagehanaproductions64182>

<https://www.buymeacoffee.com/sagehanaJ>



Recommend Sage's Newsletter to your readers

"The hottest gossip about armadillos!"

Recommend



134 Likes · 7 Restacks

122 Comments



Write a comment...



Remi Steele Remi's Substack Apr 24, 2023

♥ Liked by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard

I don't know who brought my attention to this, maybe you Sage, but after I watched it, I felt c
Having been a soldier for 23 years, and in government service for another 15, I now feel used
November 22nd, 1963 was the 1st step that got this ball rolling, IMO.

<https://topdocumentaryfilms.com/everything-rich-man-trick/>

♥ LIKE (13) 💬 REPLY

12 replies by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard and others



Jill Shank 🗨️ Apr 24, 2023

♥ Liked by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard

Their discussion about changing the language to move societal norms is really heightened wi
women. Generations past would never ask 'What is a woman?'

Breasts are uniquely feminine but chest is not. Let's change breastfed to chest feeding. She's
mother or pregnant woman, it's a pregnant person or people who can get pregnant. It's not s
unique or defining.

A woman is redefined as someone who wears makeup and wears certain types of clothing. A man can be a woman. A trans man can be woman, the first female 4 star general, The woman of the year, winner of the beauty contest and the recipient of the women's college scholarship. A man can be the fastest woman swimmer, runner, or spokesperson. A man can be the spokesperson for Nike and leggings, Ulta makeup, and Tampax.

WOMEN WILL PROMOTE THEIR OWN ERASURE.

Your sex is not God given or determined. You are your god and you decide everything about you. Wanna be a different sex? Want to be an undefined sex? Create a category like gender and claim it as reality. Want to be something not human? How about an animal, a furry animal? You, a deity, can be anything you imagine. Everyone around you must accept, bow to your pronoun and indulge the idea.

Pedaphiles are minor attracted persons. Bring your children to drag events with 🌈 and be a role model, call out men, dressing in sexy women's clothing and dancing provocatively. Don't point out the hypocrisy on the kids and if you remove the kids, the event is cancelled like the Pride parade in FL. Please remember their lifestyle is sterile so they NEED your kids.

♡ LIKE (8) 💬 REPLY

9 replies by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard and others

120 more comments...