

# "Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now." In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Depopulation Global Agenda Would Unfold

Dr Day told the group that what he was about to say would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand

APR 03, 2023

♡ 492

💬 257

🔄 111

Share

***editor's note: Post is way too long for email, click through for full content.***

Well this was wild.

Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the chess pieces around.

The following is an extended audiotaped account recollecting a **1969 talk** given by an ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director, Richard Day.

Day was preparing his audience of physicians for what was to come.

He asked them not to take notes or record his session.

I just had a thought of a disagreement I had with one of the Normies of the Substackers a while back who resisted the notion of "12-men in a room" coordinating.

Normie Stacker viewed the COVID-19 Operation through the lens of local Do Botching Shit stuff. Kind of like Kirsch sees it as CDC mistakes. And Pierre doesn't want to live in this world.

The parts on medicine and health care really REALLY resonate.

Some of the social issue stuff at the very least made me think hard. Explode brain a bit. If it's true, talk about playing 5-D Chess!

The audio itself is from **1988**, and is voiced by one of the attendees of Day's presentation, a physician named **Lawrence Dunegan**.

I am posting the links and the audio upload on Tape #1, which I listened to i last night while reading along. (Amazing how much nuance is picked up by listening to the spoken word recollection.)

This is extremely lengthy so I won't spicy take too much except that I had tl face 🤪 while listening and reading Tape #1. This was a direct and persona window, albeit second hand, as to the ideology and methods of the rich peop who run and control the world.

***Everything is a rich man's trick.***

We'll start with some linked blog introductions of the characters and setting

I'll add some bolding throughout to break up the Wall of Text.

## Introduction

There was a meeting of paediatricians and students which took place on **20<sup>th</sup> March 1969** at the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society, which was located on Ridge Avenue in Pittsburgh.

One of the speakers was **Dr Richard Day, an eminent professor and physi and Medical Director of the organisation 'Planned Parenthood'**.

'Planned Parenthood' was established in 1921 (then under the name of the 'American Birth Control League') in the United States by Margaret Sanger, a proponent of birth control, and a member of the American Eugenics Society, which lobbied for women's rights to have access to birth control methods and education. The organisation grew, and established clinics all over the US (and has expanded into the world market), and is now the USA's leading sexual and reproductive healthcare provider – and is the leading provider of abortion services. 'Planned Parenthood' has been the subject of intense criticism since its establishment, from anti-abortion organisations and campaigners, to those who have criticized that way the organisation is funded both by the government, the Rockefeller Foundation (a family known to be part of the globalist elite, and has funded 'Planned Parenthood' since its inception in 1921), and private donors.

**At a dinner after the meeting on the 20<sup>th</sup> March 1969, Dr Day asked the attendees (a close group of colleagues) not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them.** Something which Dr Dunegan said he found unusual for a professor to ask of his audience.

The reason Dr Day implied was that there would be negative repercussions, possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group. Dr Day told the group that what he was about to say would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand, something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr Day spoke.

Dr Dunegan got the impression that Dr Day was talking as an 'insider', rather than as a person who was presenting a theory or speaking in terms of retrospect. **Dr Day's knowledge was concrete as he talked about the future, the strategies of people and organisations that had a defined plan for the world, and were in a position to make sure that plan was executed.**

In introducing that there were those who had a plan for the world, Dr Day informed his audience that there was a timescale, and that much of what

wanted would be achieved through plans that were already set in motion. Dunegan recalls Dr Day saying "We plan to enter the twenty-first century on a running start. Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now...", and he felt relatively free to talk about this to those he considered friends. Dr Day referred to the plans of those in power as being 'much bigger than communism'.

When talking about the people who had the power to devise and implement such plans, Dr Day stated that they were not primarily in public office, but were people of prominence who would be known to the public through their occupations or private positions. **This ties-in with what we know about the globalist elite today, primarily consisting of families involved in operating large-scale financial institutions (the Rockefellers, Rothschilds and other European royalty (Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands, Queen Elizabeth II, others), and other extremely wealthy individuals that make up the 300 members.**

Two other statements Dr Day made during his introduction were "People have to get used to the idea of change, so used to change, that they'll be expecting change. Nothing will be permanent," and "People are too trusting. People don't ask the right questions."

If we look at how society has developed over the past forty years or so, attitudes have changed significantly, especially among the generations who were born from the 1970s onwards and have grown up in an atmosphere of change. The development of science and technology has happened at a faster rate than any other time in human history. People are able to travel to any part of the world, and many choose to spend time abroad, or work in different parts of their own country – something which to older generations would have seemed exotic or unattainable, preferring certain things to remain as they were as reference points in their lives and part of the solid foundation on which their society was maintained.

**Our analysis is based on the taped interview with Dr Dunegan in 1988 where he recollected his memories of the meeting in 1969.** In the analysis of events since the meeting in 1969, we have primarily used 1988 as a starting point because Dr Dunegan could not possibly have knowledge of future events, whereas there is a possibility that memories of the 1969 meeting may have become mixed with other perceptions between 1969 and 1988. Even so, any significant events which occurred between 1969 and 1988 are also included.

Further research finds that David Icke also covered this a year ago, and one of our readers Teresa also did recently per her comment on one of last night's posts.

Here is Icke's account, which I am still watching.



Here is more on David Icke from [Amy Sukwan](#), including a personal anecdote.



Sage's Newsletter

## David Icke and the Shapeshifting Lizard People

Today's article is a guest post from the one and only Amy Sukwan. Enjoy...

[Read more](#)

3 years ago · 171 likes · 211 comments · Sage Hana

Henri Thibodeau blog post from 2015 which linked to the Day tapes and transcripts. All are linked below.



## Back in 1969, Dr Richard Day made some astonishing predictions about where the world would be today

Posted on [June 8, 2015](#) by [Henri Thibodeau](#)

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them during that lecture. Something which Dr Dunegan said he found unusual for a professor to ask of his audience. The reason, Dr Day implied, was that there would be negative repercussions – possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group.

Dr Day apparently also told the group that what he was about to say “would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand,” something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr Day spoke.



Dr. Richard Day taught at Mount Sinai 1968 until he retired in 1971. Earlier he had been national medical director of Planned Parenthood (1965-1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh (1956 to 1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics in the Downstate Medical School in Brooklyn (1953 to 1956); and an associate professor at the College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University (1935 to 1953) | *New York Times* Obituary, June 16, 1989

<https://henrithibodeau.wordpress.com/2015/06/08/back-in-1969-dr-richard-made-some-astonishing-predictions-about-where-the-world-would-be-today/>

<https://100777.com/nwo/barbarians#1>

## Text

<https://drrichardday.wordpress.com/audio/>

Audio files (download and YouTube links)

Dr Richard Day. New Order of Barbarians - Tape 1



## **Tape I: IS THERE A POWER, A FORCE OR A GROUP OF MEN ORGANIZING AND REDIRECTING CHANGE?**

### **Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, 1988**

There has been much written, and much said, by some people who have looked at all the changes that have occurred in American society in the past 20 years or so, and who have looked retrospectively to earlier history of the United States, and indeed, of the world, and come to the conclusion that there is a conspiracy of sorts which influences, indeed controls, major historic events, not only in the United States, but around the world. This conspiratorial interpretation of history is based on people making observations from the



outside, gathering evidence and coming to the conclusion that from the outside they see a conspiracy. Their evidence and conclusions are based evidence gathered in retrospect. Period.

**I want to now describe what I heard from a speaker in 1969** which in sev weeks will now be 20 years ago. The speaker did not speak in terms of retrospect, but rather **predicting changes that would be brought about in future**. The speaker was not looking from the outside in, thinking that he conspiracy, rather, he was on the inside, admitting that, indeed, there wa organized power, force, group of men, who wielded enough influence to determine major events involving countries around the world. And he predicted, or rather expounded on, changes that were planned for the remainder of this century.

As you listen, if you can recall the situation, at least in the United States i 1969 and the few years there after, and then recall the kinds of changes v have occurred between then and now, almost 20 years later, I believe you be impressed with the degree to which the things that were planned to b brought about have already been accomplished. Some of the things that v discussed were not intended to be accomplished yet by 1988. *[Note: the y this recording]* but are intended to be accomplished before the end of this century. There is a timetable; and it was during this session that some of elements of the timetable were brought out.

Anyone who recalls early in the days of the Kennedy Presidency .. the Kennedy campaign .. when he spoke of .. progress in the decade of the 60 that was kind of a cliché in those days - "the decade of the 60's." Well, by our speaker was talking about the decade of the 70's, the decade of the 80 and the decade of the 90's.

So that .. I think that terminology that we are looking at .. looking at thin and expressing things, probably all comes from the same source. Prior to time I don't remember anybody saying "the decade of the 40's and the dec of the 50's. So I think this overall plan and timetable had taken important

shape with more predictability to those who control it, sometime in the late 1950's. That's speculation on my part. In any event, the speaker said that his purpose was to tell us about changes which would be brought about in the next 30 years or so...so that an entirely new world-wide system would be in operation before the turn of the century. As he put it, **"We plan to enter the 21st Century with a running start."**

## **"EVERYTHING IS IN PLACE AND NOBODY CAN STOP US NOW..."**

He said, as we listened to what he was about to present, he said, "Some of you will think I'm talking about Communism. **Well, what I'm talking about is much bigger than Communism!**" At that time he indicated that there is **more cooperation between East and West than most people realize**. In his introductory remarks he commented that he was free to speak at this time because he would not have been able to say what he was about to say, even a few years earlier. But he was free to speak at this time because now, and I'm quoting him here, **"everything is in place and nobody can stop us now."** That's the end of that quotation.

He went on to say that most people don't understand how governments operate and **even people in high positions in governments, including our own, don't really understand how and where decisions are made**. He went on to say that .. he went on to say that people who really influence decisions are not the ones that for the most part would be familiar to most of us, but he would not use their individuals' names or names of any specific organization. But. That, if he had used names, most of the people would be names that were recognized by most of his audience. He went on to say that they were not primarily people in public office, but people of prominence who were primarily known in their private occupations or private positions.

The speaker was a doctor of medicine, a former professor at a large Eastern university, and he was addressing a group of doctors of medicine, about 80 in number. His name would not be widely recognized by anybody likely to be at this, and so there is no point in giving his name. The only purpose in

recording this is that it may give a perspective to those who hear it regarding the changes which have already been accomplished in the past 20 years and a bit of a preview to what at least some people are planning for the remainder of this century ... so that we, or they, would enter the 21st Century with a flying start. Some of us may not enter that Century.

His purpose in telling our group about these changes that were to be brought about was to make it easier for us to adapt to these changes. Indeed, as he quite accurately said, **"they would be changes that would be very surprising and in some ways difficult for people to accept,"** and he hoped that we, as one of his friends, would make the adaptation more easily if we knew somewhat beforehand what to expect.

## **"PEOPLE WILL HAVE TO GET USED TO CHANGE..."**

Somewhere in the introductory remarks he insisted that nobody have a recorder and that nobody take notes, which for a professor was a very remarkable kind of thing to expect from an audience. Something in his remarks suggested that there could be negative repercussions against him if his ... if it became widely known what he was about to say to ... to our group it became widely known that indeed he had spilled the beans, so to speak.

When I heard first that, I thought maybe that was sort of an ego trip, somewhat enhancing his own importance. But as the revelations unfolded, I began to understand why he might have had some concern about not having it widely known what was said, although this ... although this was a fairly public forum where he was speaking, (where the) remarks were delivered.

But, nonetheless, he asked that no notes be taken ... no tape recording be used, suggesting there might be some personal danger to himself if these revelations were widely publicized. Again, as the remarks began to unfold, I saw the rather outrageous things that were said ... at that time they certainly seemed outrageous ...

I made it a point to try to remember as much of what he said as I could, and during the subsequent weeks and months, and years, to connect my recollections to simple events around me .. both to aid my memory for the future, in case I wanted to do what I'm doing now - record this. And also, to maintain a perspective on what would be developing, if indeed, it followed the predicted pattern - which it has!

At this point, so that I don't forget to include it later, I'll just include some statements that were made from time to time throughout the presentation just having a general bearing on the whole presentation. One of the statements was having to do with change. People get used to .. the statement was, **"People will have to get used to the idea of change, so used to change, that they'll be expecting change. Nothing will be permanent."**

This often came out in the context of a society of .. where people seemed to have no roots or moorings, but would be passively willing to accept change simply because it was all they had ever known. This was sort of in contrast to generations of people up until this time where certain things you expected to be, and remain in place as reference points for your life. So change was to be brought about, change was to be anticipated and expected, and accepted, and questions asked.

Another comment that was made .. from time to time during the presentation .. was. **"People are too trusting, people don't ask the right questions."** Sometimes, being too trusting was equated with being too dumb. But sometimes when .. when he would say that and say, **"People don't ask the right questions,"** it was almost with a sense of regret ... as if he were uneasy with what he was part of, and wished that people would challenge it and maybe not be so trusting.

## THE REAL AND THE STATED GOALS

Another comment that was repeated from time to time, .. this particular relation to changing laws and customs, .. and specific changes, .. he said,

**"Everything has two purposes. One is the ostensible purpose which will it acceptable to people and second is the real purpose which would further the goals of establishing the new system and having it,"**

Frequently he would say, "There is just no other way, There's just no other way!" This seemed to come as a sort of an apology, particularly when .. at conclusion of describing some particularly offensive changes. For example the promotion of drug addiction which we'll get into shortly.

## **POPULATION CONTROL**

He was very active with population control groups, the population control movement, and population control was really the entry point into specific following the introduction. **He said the population is growing too fast. Numbers of people living at any one time on the planet must be limited or we will run out of space to live. We will outgrow our food supply and we will over-populate the world with our waste.**

## **PERMISSION TO HAVE BABIES**

People won't be allowed to have babies just because they want to or because they are careless. Most families would be limited to two. Some people would be allowed only one, and the outstanding person or persons might be selected and allowed to have three. But most people would [be] allowed to have one or two babies. That's because the zero population growth rate] is 2.1 children per completed family. So something like every 10th family might be allowed the privilege of the third baby.

To me, up to this point, the word "population control" primarily connoted limiting the number of babies to be born. **But this remark about what people would be "allowed" and then what followed, made it quite clear that when we hear "population control" that means more than just controlling births. It means control of every endeavor of an entire .. of the entire world population, a much broader meaning to that term than I had ever attached to it before hearing this.** As you listen and reflect back on some of the things you hear

you will begin to recognize how one aspect dovetails with other aspects : terms of controlling human endeavors.

## **REDIRECTING THE PURPOSE OF SEX - SEX WITHOUT REPRODUCTION AND REPRODUCTION WITHOUT SEX**

Well, from population control, the natural next step then was sex. He said sex must be separated from reproduction. Sex is too pleasurable, and the drives are too strong, to expect people to give it up. Chemicals in food and in the water supply to reduce the sex drive are not practical. The strategy then would be not to diminish sex activity, but to increase sex activity, but in such a way that people won't be having babies.

## **CONTRACEPTION UNIVERSALLY AVAILABLE TO ALL**

And the first consideration then here was contraception. Contraception would be very strongly encouraged, and it would be connected so closely to people's minds with sex, that they would automatically think contraception when they were thinking or preparing for sex. And contraception would be made universally available. Nobody wanting contraception would be .. find that they were unavailable. Contraceptives would be displayed much more prominently in drug stores, right up with the cigarettes and chewing gum in the open rather than hidden under the counter where people would have to ask for them and maybe be embarrassed. This kind of openness was a way of suggesting that contraceptions .. that contraceptives are just as much a part of life as any other items sold in the store. And, contraceptives would be advertised. And contraceptives would be dispensed in the schools in association with sex education!

## **SEX EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF WORLD GOVERNMENT**

The sex education was to get kids interested early, making the connection between sex and the need for contraception early in their lives, even before they became very active. At this point I was recalling some of my teacher's



particularly in high school and found it totally unbelievable to think of the agreeing, much less participating in, distributing of contraceptives to stu

But, that only reflected my lack of understanding of how these people op That was before the school-based clinic programs got started. Many, mai cities in the United States by this time have already set up school-based clinics which are primarily contraception, birth control, population contr clinics. The idea then is that the connection between sex and contracept introduced and reinforced in school would carry over into marriage. Inde young people when they matured decided to get married, marriage itself would be diminished in importance. He indicated some recognition that people probably would want to be married. .. but that this certainly would be any longer considered to be necessary for sexual activity.

## **TAX FUNDED ABORTION AS POPULATION CONTROL**

No surprise then, that the next item was abortion. And this, now back i 1969, four years before Roe vs. Wade. He said, "Abortion will no longer be crime." Abortion will be accepted as normal, and would be paid for by tax people who could not pay for their own abortions. Contraceptives would made available by tax money so that nobody would have to do without contraceptives. If school sex programs would lead to more pregnancies in children, that was really seen as no problem. Parents who think they are opposed to abortion on moral or religious grounds will change their mind when it is their own child who is pregnant. So this will help overcome opposition to abortion. Before long, only a few die-hards will still refuse t abortion as acceptable, and they won't matter anymore.

## **ENCOURAGING HOMOSEXUALITY ... ANYTHING GOES HOMOSEXUALITY ALSO WAS TO BE ENCOURAGED.**

"People will be given permission to be homosexual," that's the way it w stated. They won't have to hide it. And elderly people will be encouraged continue to have active sex lives into the very old ages, just as long as the

can. Everyone will be given permission to have sex, to enjoy however the want. Anything goes. This is the way it was put.

And, I remember thinking, "how arrogant for this individual, or whoever represents, to feel that they can give or withhold permission for people to do things!" But that was the terminology that was used.

In this regard, clothing was mentioned. Clothing styles would be made more stimulating and provocative. Recall back in 1969 was the time of the mini skirt, when those mini-skirts were very, very high and very revealing. He said, "It is not just the amount of skin that is expressed ... exposed that makes clothing sexually seductive, but other, more subtle things are often suggestive." .. things like movement, and the cut of clothing, and the kind of fabric, the positioning of accessories on the clothing. "If a woman has an attractive body, why should she not show it?" was one of the statements. There was not detail on what was meant by "provocative clothing," but said that at that time if you watched the change in clothing styles, blue jeans are cut in a way that they're more tight-fitting in the crotch. They form wrinkles. We are essentially arrows. Lines which direct one's vision to certain anatomical areas.

And, this was around the time of the "burn your bra" activity. He indicated that a lot of women should not go without a bra. They need a bra to be attractive. Instead of banning bras and burning them, bras would come back. But they would be thinner and softer allowing more natural movement. It was not specifically stated, but certainly a very thin bra is much more revealing of the nipple and what else is underneath, than the heavier bras that were in style at that time.

## TECHNOLOGY

Earlier he said .. sex and reproduction would be separated. You would have sex without reproduction and then technology was reproduction without sex. This would be done in the laboratory. He indicated that already much, more

research was underway about making babies in the laboratory. There was some elaboration on that, but I don't remember the details. How much of technology has come to my attention since that time, I don't remember .. I don't remember in a way that I can distinguish what was said from what I subsequently have learned as general medical information.

## **FAMILIES TO DIMINISH IN IMPORTANCE**

Families would be limited in size. We already alluded to not being allowed more than two children. Divorce would be made easier and more prevalent. Most people who marry will marry more than once. More people will not marry. Unmarried people would stay in hotels and even live together. That would be very common - nobody would even ask questions about it. It would be widely accepted as no different from married people being together.

More women will work outside the home. More men will be transferred to other cities and in their jobs, more men would travel. Therefore, it would be harder for families to stay together. This would tend to make the marriage relationship less stable and, therefore, tend to make people less willing to have babies. And, the extended families would be smaller, and more remote.

Travel would be easier, less expensive, for a while, so that people who did not travel would feel they could get back to their families, not that they were abruptly being made remote from their families. But one of the net effects of easier divorce laws combined with the promotion of travel, and transferring families from one city to another, was to create instability in the families where both husband and wife are working and one partner gets transferred the other one may not be easily transferred. Soon, either gives up his or her job and stays behind while the other leaves, or else gives up the job and risks not finding employment in the new location. Rather a diabolical approach to the whole thing!

## **EUTHANASIA AND THE "DEMISE PILL"**

Everybody has a right to live only so long. The old are no longer useful. become a burden. You should be ready to accept death. Most people are. An arbitrary age limit could be established. After all, you have a right to only many steak dinners, so many orgasms, and so many good pleasures in life. **And after you have had enough of them and you're no longer productive working, and contributing, then you should be ready to step aside for the generation.**

Some things that would help people realize that they had lived long enough he mentioned several of these - I don't remember them all - here are a few use of very pale printing ink on forms that people .. are necessary to fill out that older people wouldn't be able to read the pale ink as easily and would need to go to younger people for help. Automobile traffic patterns - there would be more high-speed traffic lanes .. traffic patterns that would .. that older people with their slower reflexes would have trouble dealing with and they would lose some of their independence.

Logan's Run Official Trailer #1 - Michael York Movie (1976) HD



**LIMITING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE MEDICAL CARE  
MAKES ELIMINATING ELDERLY EASIER**

A big item .. was elaborated at some length was the cost of medical care would be made burdensomely high. Medical care would be connected very closely with one's work but also would be made very, very high in cost so it would simply be unavailable to people beyond a certain time.

And unless they had a remarkably rich, supporting family, they would just have to do without care. And the idea was that if everybody says, "Enough! What a burden it is on the young to try to maintain the old people," then the young would become agreeable to helping Mom and Dad along the way, provided this was done humanely and with dignity. **And then the example was - there could be like a nice, farewell party, a real celebration. Mom and Dad had done a good job. And then after the party's over they take the "death pill."**

## PLANNING THE CONTROL OVER MEDICINE

The next topic is Medicine. There would be profound changes in the practice of medicine. **Overall, medicine would be much more tightly controlled.** The observation was made, "Congress is not going to go along with national health insurance. That (in 1969)," he said, "is now, abundantly evident. But it's not necessary. We have other ways to control health care."

These would come about more gradually, but all health care delivery would come under tight control. Medical care would be closely connected to work **you don't work or can't work, you won't have access to medical care.** The practice of hospitals giving away free care would gradually wind down, to where it was virtually nonexistent. Costs would be forced up so that people wouldn't be able to afford to go without insurance. People pay.. you pay for it, you're entitled to it.

It was only subsequently that I began to realize the extent to which you wouldn't be paying for it. Your medical care would be paid for by others. And therefore you would gratefully accept, on bended knee, what was offered

you as a privilege. Your role being responsible for your own care would be diminished.

As an aside here, this is not something that was developed at that time .. didn't understand it at the time as an aside, the way this works, everybody made dependent on insurance. And if you don't have insurance then you directly; the cost of your care is enormous. The insurance company, however, paying for your care, does not pay that same amount. If you are charged, say \$600 for the use of an operating room, the insurance company does not pay \$600 on your part. They pay \$300 or \$400. And that differential in billing has the desired effect: It enables the insurance company to pay for that which it could never pay for. They get a discount that's unavailable to you. When you see your bill you're grateful that the insurance company could do that. And in this way you are dependent, and virtually required to have insurance. The whole billing is fraudulent.

Anyhow, continuing on now, .. **access to hospitals would be tightly controlled**

**Identification would be needed to get into the building. The security in and around hospitals would be established and gradually increased so that nobody without identification could get in or move around inside the building.**

Theft of hospital equipment, things like typewriters and microscopes and so forth would be "allowed" and exaggerated; reports of it would be exaggerated so that this would be the excuse needed to establish the need for strict security, until people got used to it.

And anybody moving about the hospital would be required to wear an identification badge with photograph and.. telling why he was there .. as an employee or lab technician or visitor or whatever. This is to be brought in gradually, getting everybody used to the idea of identifying themselves - it was just accepted. **This need for ID to move about would start in small**



**ways: hospitals, some businesses, but gradually expand to include every in all places!**

It was observed that hospitals can be used to confine people .. for the treatment of criminals. This did not mean, necessarily, medical treatment that .. at that time I did not know the word "Psycho-Prison" - is in the Soviet Union, but, without trying to recall all the details, basically, he was describing the use of hospitals both for treating the sick, and for confinement of criminals for reasons other than the medical well-being of the criminal. The definition of criminal was not given.

## **ELIMINATION OF PRIVATE DOCTORS**

The image of the doctor would change. No longer would the .. he be seen as an individual professional in service to individual patients. But the doctor would be gradually recognized as a highly skilled technician - and his job would change.

The job is to include things like executions by lethal injection. The image of the doctor being a powerful, independent person would have to be changed. And he went on to say, "Doctors are making entirely too much money. They should advertise like any other product." Lawyers would be advertising to

Keep in mind, this was an audience of doctors; being addressed by a doctor. And it was interesting that he would make some rather insulting statements to his audience without fear of antagonizing us.

**The solo practitioner would become a thing of the past. A few die-hards try to hold out, but most doctors would be employed by an institution of kind or another.** Group practice would be encouraged, corporations would be encouraged, and then once the corporate image of medical care .. as this gradually became more and more acceptable, doctors would more and more become employees rather than independent contractors. And along with that, of course, unstated but necessary, is the employee serves his employer, not the patient.

So that's .. we've already seen quite a lot of that in the last 20 years. And apparently more on the horizon. The term HMO was not used at that time as you look at HMOs you see this is the way that medical care is being taken over since the National Health Insurance approach did not get through the Congress.

A few die-hard doctors may try to make a go of it, remaining in solo practice remaining independent, which, parenthetically, is me. But they would suffer a great loss of income. They'd be able to scrape by, maybe, but never really comfortably as would those who were willing to become employees of the system. **Ultimately, there would be no room at all for the solo practitioners after the system is entrenched.**

## **NEW DIFFICULT TO DIAGNOSE AND UNTREATABLE DISEASES**

Next heading to talk about is Health and Disease. **He said there would be new diseases to appear which had not ever been seen before.** Would be very difficult to diagnose and be untreatable - at least for a long time. No elaboration was made on this, but I remember, not long after hearing this presentation when I had a puzzling diagnosis to make, I would be wondering, "is this what he was talking about? Is this a case of what he was talking about?" years later, as AIDS ultimately developed, I think AIDS was at least one example of what he was talking about. **I now think that AIDS probably was a manufactured disease.**

## **SUPPRESSING CANCER CURES AS A MEANS OF POPULATION CONTROL**

Cancer. He said. **"We can cure almost every cancer right now. Information is on file in the Rockefeller Institute, if it's ever decided that it should be released. But consider - if people stop dying of cancer, how rapidly we would become overpopulated. You may as well die of cancer as something else"**

Efforts at cancer treatment would be geared more toward comfort than to cure. There was some statement that ultimately the cancer cures which

being hidden in the Rockefeller Institute would come to light because independent researchers might bring them out, despite these efforts to suppress them. But at least for the time being, letting people die of cancer is a good thing to do because it would slow down the problem of overpopulation.

## INDUCING HEART ATTACKS AS A FORM OF ASSASSINATION

Another very interesting thing was heart attacks. He said, "**There is no way to simulate a real heart attack. It can be used as a means of assassination.**" Only a very skilled pathologist who knew exactly what to look for at an autopsy, could distinguish this from the real thing. I thought that was a very surprising and shocking thing to hear from this particular man at that particular time.

This, and the business of the cancer cure, really still stand out sharply in memory, because they were so shocking and, at that time, seemed to me very character.

He then went on to talk about nutrition and exercise sort of in the same framework. People would not have to .. people would have to eat right and exercise right to live as long as before. Most won't. This in the connection with nutrition, there was no specific statement that I can recall as to particular nutrients that would be either inadequate or in excess. In retrospect, I think he meant high salt diets and high fat diets would predispose toward high blood pressure and premature arteriosclerotic heart disease. And then the people who were too dumb or too lazy to exercise as they should then the dietary .. their circulating fats go up and predispose to disease.

And he said something about diet information - about proper diet - would be widely available, but most people, particularly stupid people, who had no intention of continuing to live anyway, they would ignore the advice and just go on as usual with what was convenient and tasted good. There were some other unpleasant things said about food. I just can't recall what they were. But I do remember .. having reflections about wanting to plant a garden in the backyard to grow vegetables.

around whatever these contaminated foods would be. I regret I don't remember the details .. the rest of this .. about nutrition and hazardous nutrition.

With regard to Exercise. He went on to say that more people would be exercising more, especially running, because everybody can run. You don't need any special equipment or place. You can run wherever you are. As he said it. "people will be running all over the place." And in this vein, he pointed out how supply produces demand. And this was in reference to athletic clothing and equipment. As this would be made more widely available and glamorous particularly as regards running shoes, this would stimulate people to develop an interest in running and .. as part of a whole sort of public propaganda campaign. People would be encouraged then to buy the attractive sports equipment and to get into exercise.

Again .. well in connection with nutrition he also mentioned that public eating places would rapidly increase. That .. this had a connection with the family too. As more and more people eat out, eating at home would become less important. People would be less dependent on their kitchens at home. And then this also connected to convenience foods being made widely available things like you could pop into the microwave. Whole meals would be available pre-fixed.

And of course. we've now seen this ... and some pretty good ones. But this whole different approach to eating out and to .. previously prepared meal being eaten in the home was predicted at that time to be brought about - convenience foods. The convenience foods would be part of the hazards. Anybody who was lazy enough to want the convenience foods rather than fixing his own also had better be energetic enough to exercise. Because if you was too lazy to exercise and too lazy to fix his own food, then he didn't deserve to live very long.

This was all presented as sort of a moral judgement about people and what they should do with their energies. People who are smart, who would learn

about nutrition, and who are disciplined enough to eat right and exercise are better people - and the kind you want to live longer.

## **EDUCATION AS A TOOL FOR ACCELERATING THE ONSET OF PUBERTY AND EVOLUTION**

Somewhere along in here there was also something about accelerating onset of puberty. And this was said in connection with health, and later in connection with education, and connecting to accelerating the process of evolutionary change. **There was a statement that "we think that we can speed evolution faster and in the direction we want it to go."** I remember this as a general statement. I don't recall if any details were given beyond that.

## **BLENDING ALL RELIGIONS...THE OLD RELIGIONS WILL HAVE TO GO**

Another area of discussion was Religion. This is an avowed atheist speaking. And he said, "Religion is not necessarily bad. A lot of people seem to need religion, with its mysteries and rituals - so they will have religion. But the major religions of today have to be changed because they are not compatible with the changes to come. The old religions will have to go. Especially Christianity. Once the Roman Catholic Church is brought down, the rest of Christianity will follow easily. Then a new religion can be accepted and used all over the world. It will incorporate something from all of the old religions to make it more easy for people to accept it, and feel at home in it. Most people won't be too concerned with religion. They will realize that they don't need religion."

## **CHANGING THE BIBLE THROUGH REVISIONS OF KEY WORDS**

In order to do this, the Bible will be changed. It will be rewritten to fit the new religion. Gradually, key words will be replaced with new words having various shades of meaning. Then the meaning attached to the new word will be close to the old word - and as time goes on, other shades of meaning of the word can be emphasized. and then gradually that word replaced with another word." I don't know if I'm making that clear. **But the idea is that everything in the Scripture need not be rewritten, just key words replaced by other words.**

the variability in meaning attached to any word can be used as a tool to change the entire meaning of Scripture, and therefore make it acceptable this new religion. **Most people won't know the difference; and this was another one of the times where he said, "the few who do notice the difference won't be enough to matter."**

## **"THE CHURCHES WILL HELP US!"**

Then followed one of the most surprising statements of the whole presentation: He said, "Some of you probably think the Churches won't stand for this," and he went on to say, "the churches will help us!" There was no elaboration on this, it was unclear just what he had in mind when he said "the churches will help us!" In retrospect I think some of us now can understand what he might have meant at that time. I recall then only of thinking, "no, they won't!" and remembering our Lord's words where he said to Peter, "Thou Peter and upon this rock I will build my Church, and gates of Hell will not prevail against it." So .. yes, some people in the Churches might help. And the subsequent 20 years we've seen how some people in Churches have helped. But we also know that our Lord's Words will stand, and the gates of Hell will not prevail.

## **RESTRUCTURING EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF INDOCTRINATION**

Another area of discussion was Education. And one of the things; in connection with education that I remember connecting with what he said about religion was in addition to changing the Bible he said that the classics in Literature would be changed. I seem to recall Mark Twain's writings were given as one example. But he said, the casual reader reading a revised version of a classic would never even suspect that there was any change. And, somebody would have to go through word by word to even recognize that a change was made in these classics, the changes would be so subtle. But the changes would be such as to promote the acceptability of the new system.

## **MORE TIME IN SCHOOLS, BUT THEY "WOULDN'T LEARN ANYTHING."**



**As regards education, he indicated that kids would spend more time in schools, but in many schools they wouldn't learn anything. They'll learn things, but not as much as formerly.**

---

George Carlin - It's A BIG Club & You Ain't In It!



---

Better schools in better areas with better people - their kids will learn more. In the better schools learning would be accelerated. And this is another time where he said, "**We think we can push evolution.**" By pushing kids to learn more he seemed to be suggesting that their brains would evolve, that their offspring would evolve .. sort of pushing evolution .. where kids would learn and be more intelligent at a younger age. As if this pushing would alter their physiology.

Overall, schooling would be prolonged. This meant prolonged through the school year. I'm not sure what he said about a long school day, I do remember he said that school was planned to go all summer, that the summer school vacation would become a thing of the past. Not only for schools, but for other reasons. People would begin to think of vacation times year round, not just the summer. For most people it would take longer to complete their education.

To get what originally had been in a bachelor's program would now require advanced degrees and more schooling. So that a lot of school time would just be wasted time. Good schools would become more competitive. I inferred when he said that, that he was including all schools - elementary up through college - but I don't recall whether he said that.

Students would have to decide at a younger age what they would want to study and get onto their track early, if they would qualify. It would be hard to change to another field of study once you get started. Studies would be concentrated in much greater depth, but narrowed. You wouldn't have access to material in other fields, outside your own area of study, without approval. This seems to be more .. where he talked about limited access to other fields. I seem to recall that as being more at the college level. High school and college level, perhaps. People would be very specialized in their own area of expertise. But they won't be able to get a broad education and won't be able to understand what is going on overall.

## **CONTROLLING WHO HAS ACCESS TO INFORMATION**

He was already talking about computers in education, and at that time said anybody who wanted computer access, or access to books that were directly related to their field of study would have to have a very good reason for so doing. Otherwise, access would be denied.

## **SCHOOLS AS THE HUB OF THE COMMUNITY**

Another angle was that the schools would become more important in people's overall life. Kids in addition to their academics would have to get involved in school activities unless they wanted to feel completely out of it. But spontaneous activities among kids.. the thing that came to my mind when I heard this was - sand lot football and sand lot baseball teams that we grew up as kids growing up. I said the kids wanting any activities outside of school would be almost forced to get them through the school. There would be few opportunities outside.

Now the pressures of the accelerated academic program, the accelerated demands. where kids would feel they had to be part of something - one or another athletic club or some school activity - these pressures he recognized would cause some students to burn out. He said. "the smartest ones will learn how to cope with pressures and to survive. There will be some help available to students in handling stress, but the unfit won't be able to make it. They then move on to other things."

In this connection and later on in the connection with drug abuse and alcohol abuse he indicated that psychiatric services to help would be increased dramatically. In all the pushing for achievement, it was recognized that many people would need help, and the people worth keeping around would be those who could accept and benefit from that help, and still be super achievers. **Those who could not would fall by the wayside and therefore were sort of dispensable "expendable" I guess is the word I want.**

Education would be lifelong. Adults would be going to school. There'll always be new information that adults must have to keep up. When you can't keep up anymore, you're too old. This was another way of letting older people know that the time had come for them to move on and take the demise pill. If you're too tired to keep up with your education, or you got too old to learn new information, then this was a signal - you begin to prepare to get ready to move aside.

## **"SOME BOOKS WOULD JUST DISAPPEAR FROM THE LIBRARIES.."**

In addition to revising the classics, which I alluded to awhile ago .. with revising the Bible, he said, "some books would just disappear from the libraries." This was in the vein that some books contain information or contain ideas that should not be kept around. And therefore, those books would disappear. I don't remember exactly if he said how this was to be accomplished. But I seem to recall carrying away this idea that this would include thefts. That certain people would be designated to go to certain libraries and pick up certain books and just get rid of them. Not necessarily

a matter of policy - just simply steal it. Further down the line, not everybody will be allowed to own books. And some books nobody will be allowed to

## CHANGING LAWS

Another area of discussion was laws that would be changed. At that time a lot of States had blue laws about Sunday sales, certain Sunday activities. He said the blue laws [Sunday laws] would all be repealed. Gambling laws would be repealed or relaxed, so that gambling would be increased. He indicated then that governments would get into gambling. We've had a lot of state lotteries pop up around the country since then. And, at the time, we were already being told that would be the case. "Why should all that gambling money be kept in private hands when the State would benefit from it?" was the rationale behind it. But people should be able to gamble if they want to. It would become a civil activity, rather than a private, or illegal activity.

Bankruptcy laws would be changed. I don't remember the details, but just that they would be. And I know subsequent to that time they have been. Antitrust laws would be changed, or be interpreted differently, or both. In connection with the changing anti-trust laws, there was some statement that in a sense competition would be increased. **But this would be increased competition within otherwise controlled circumstances. So it's not a free competition. I recall of having the impression that it was like competition but within members of a club. There would be nobody outside the club who would be able to compete.** Sort of like teams competing within a professional sports league - you're the NFL or the American or National Baseball Leagues - you compete within the league but the league is all in agreement on what the rules of competition are - not a really free competition.

## THE ENCOURAGEMENT OF DRUG ABUSE TO CREATE A JUNGLE ATMOSPHERE

Drug use would be increased. Alcohol use would be increased. Law enforcement efforts against drugs would be increased. On first hearing this sounded like a contradiction. Why increase drug abuse and simultaneously

increase law enforcement against drug abuse? **But the idea is that, in parallel with the increased availability of drugs would provide a sort of law of the jungle whereby the weak and the unfit would be selected out.**

There was a statement made at the time: **"Before the earth was overpopulated there was a law of the jungle where only the fittest survived. You had to be able to protect yourself against the elements and wild animals and diseases. And if you were fit you survived. But now we've become so civilized - we're over civilized - and the unfit are enabled to survive only at the expense of those who are more fit."** And the abusive drugs then, would restore, in a certain sense, the law of the jungle, and selection of the fittest for survival. News about drug abuse and law enforcement efforts would tend to keep it in the public consciousness. And would also tend to reduce this unwarranted American complacency that the world is a safe place, and a nice place.

## **ALCOHOL ABUSE**

The same thing would happen with alcohol. Alcohol abuse would be both promoted and demoted at the same time. The vulnerable and the weak would respond to the promotions and therefore use and abuse more alcohol. Drug driving would become more of a problem; and stricter rules about driving under the influence would be established so that more and more people would lose their privilege to drive.

## **RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL**

This also had connection with something we'll get to later about overpopulation and restrictions on travel. **Not everybody should be free to travel the way they do now in the United States.** People don't have a need to travel that way. It's a privilege! It was kind of the high-handed way it was put.

Again, much more in the way of psychological services would be made available to help those who got hooked on drugs and alcohol. The idea being that in order to promote this - drug and alcohol abuse to screen out some of the unfit - people who are otherwise pretty good also would also be subjected

getting hooked. And if they were really worth their salt they would have enough sense to seek psychological counseling and to benefit from it. **So was presented as sort of a redeeming value on the part of the planners. I as if he were saying, "you think we're bad in promoting these evil things look how nice we are - we're also providing a way out!"**

## **THE NEED FOR MORE JAILS, AND USING HOSPITALS AS JAILS**

More jails would be needed. Hospitals could serve as jails. Some new hospital construction would be designed so as to make them adaptable to like use.

### **End of Tape I**

Tape 2 is covered [here](#):


**"If population growth didn't slow down, food shortages could be created in a hurry." In 1969, Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard D Prophesied How the Globalist Agendas Would Unfold**

SAGE HANA • APRIL 10, 2023



On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record hi...



Re-Introduction Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the pieces around. The following is an extended audiotaped account recollecting a 1969 talk given by an ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director, Richard Day. Day was preparing his audience of physicians for what was to come. He asked them not to take notes or record hi...

[Read full story](#) →


And Tape 3 [here](#):

## In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Globalist Agenda Would Unfold, Tape #3

SAGE HANA • APRIL 24, 2023

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes, suggest...



Re-Introduction Here is that Creative Intelligence at work. The Hidden Hand. Moving the pieces around. The following is another extended audiotaped account recollecting a 1969 given by an ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director, Richard Day

[Read full story →](#)

<https://ko-fi.com/sagehanaproductions64182>

<https://www.buymeacoffee.com/sagehanaJ>



## Recommend Sage's Newsletter to your readers

"The hottest gossip about armadillos!"

Recommend



492 Likes · 111 Restacks

## 257 Comments



Write a comment...



S... S... Apr 3, 2023

♥ Liked by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard

Something I've never understood about the eugenics/population control story. When these it started gaining traction, we were at what, a billion or maybe even less? Now we are approach lost count.

So, either:

- 1) the eugenicists suck ass at doing their thing
- 2) humanity is a true force of nature and they can't control us in spite of their best efforts. (Sc variant of 1)

Or

- 3) they are allowing the population to grow, just to crush it later, leading to my hunch that we part of the greatest death cult sacrifice of all time.

Any 4th or 5th options?

♥ LIKE (54) 💬 REPLY

29 replies by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard and others



ExcessDeathsAU ExcessDeathsAU Apr 3, 2023 Edited

♥ Liked by Vigilant Amalek Snow Leopard

Commenting here because I can't comment on your paid post: Australia has plans in place to livestock with mRNA this year in collaboration with the NIH, US Army (Fort Detrick) and Gates Foundation through US company Tiba Biotech.

The minister, Dugald Saunders, is in the state of New South Wales and part of the Liberal Nat (nominally conservative). The major powerbroker in NSW has Pfizer as his blue chip client and LNP candidate is vetted in back room deals by Pfizer.

I wrote this in October last year and the response from Minister Saunders to the people's plea "safe and effective." <https://vicparkpetition.substack.com/p/australian-state-government-set->

♥ LIKE (26) 💬 REPLY

4 replies

255 more comments...

---

© 2025 Sage Hana · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)  
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture