

1 **Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin as a Treatment of COVID-19: Results of an**
2 **Open-Label Non-Randomized Clinical Trial: Response to criticisms**

3 Didier Raoult^{1,2}, Matthieu Million^{1,2}, Jean-Christophe Lagier^{1,2}, Philippe Colson^{1,2}, Philippe
4 Parola^{1,3}, Jean-Marc Rolain^{1,2}, Philippe Gautret^{1,3}

5 **Affiliations :**

6 ¹ IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France

7 ² Aix Marseille University, IRD, AP-HM, MEPHI, Marseille, France

8 ³ Aix Marseille University, IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Marseille, France

9 **Corresponding author:** Didier Raoult, IHU - Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 boulevard Jean
10 Moulin, 13005 Marseille, France. Tel.: +33 413 732 401, Fax: +33 413 732 402; email:
11 didier.raoult@gmail.com

12 **Key words:** SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; hydroxychloroquine; azithromycin

13 We thank the authors for comments provided for our article (1-3), but we would like to clarify
14 key points for the story of this manuscript (4) that are critical in the context of COVID-19
15 outbreak and for the perspective of this work. When COVID-19 starts around the world the
16 Editor-In-Chief of the Journal International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents (JM. Rolain)
17 asked colleagues (D. Raoult, PR. Hsueh, and S. Stefani) to launch a special issue in the
18 journal to create a real-time rapid debate around this emerging disease with special regards to
19 therapeutic options (5). Our preliminary paper (4) in this way was relatively trivial i.e
20 reported, in an emergency situation, a comparative analysis between a small group treated
21 with hydroxychloroquine and another small group not treated with hydroxychloroquine
22 showing a significant decrease of viral shedding after 6 days of therapy.

23 Surprisingly, despite the very small size of the group, the addition of azithromycin
24 made a difference on the endpoint we chose, which is the disappearance of the viral load in
25 the pharynx that is the only data that can be analyzed on a small group (6). Indeed, neither
26 mortality, nor the passage in intensive care unit, nor the duration of the treatment can be
27 evaluated on such a small group (6). This preliminary information was essential in our
28 opinion especially as it confirmed the preliminary *in vitro* and *in vivo* results against SARS-
29 CoV-2 announced by the Chinese (7-9), also confirming previous *in vitro* reports on the anti-
30 SARS-CoV-1 coronavirus activity dating back to 2004 (10-13). This preliminary report paved
31 the way for work testing its reproducibility.

32 On the therapeutic level, the hydroxychloroquine + azithromycin combination was
33 found to be the most effective (4) consistent with *in vitro* synergistic antiviral activity
34 reported in our laboratory (14,15). Azithromycin had already, contrary to what one of the
35 authors says, been tested effectively on Zika (16, 17), so we knew that it had an antiviral
36 action. With regard to our seminal paper on *in vivo* anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of
37 hydroxychloroquine (4), we were subjected to unprecedented violence. I (DR) was asked to

38 confess that I had a relationship and a conflict of interest with Sanofi, which is laughable
39 when you use generics and you have had no relationship with the pharmaceutical industry at
40 all at IHU (our center) for 5 years. The second thing is that I (DR) was harassed to give all the
41 evidence to show that this was done after the agreement of our government, the evaluation by
42 the Committee for the Protection of Individuals, and that it was done in all regularity
43 (validated by ANSM, the French FDA, available online in the EU Clinical Trial Register Page,
44 EudraCT number: 2020-000890-25). Subsequently, we were threatened for retraction of this
45 article, with no justification other than the opinion of people who were fiercely hostile to the
46 use of hydroxychloroquine. It should be noted that this paper is now by far the most cited
47 paper in the literature on the treatment of COVID-19, exceeding 2,500 citations in Google
48 Scholar.

49 As a result of this paper, half of the world's population lives in countries where
50 hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin is largely prescribed against COVID-19,
51 this currently concerns more than 4.5 billion people (18). On the other hand, methodological
52 problems and problems of scientific misconduct with non-declaration of conflict of interest
53 have multiplied for therapeutics in the best journals which ended up with the retraction of a
54 paper (19).

55 Over the past few decades, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been considered
56 the ultimate in defining the best treatment for a disease, especially in large international multi-
57 center studies largely funded by pharmaceutical companies. This is not true because there are
58 no significant differences in effect estimates between observational studies and RCTs,
59 regardless of the specific design of the observational studies, heterogeneity or inclusion of
60 studies of pharmacological interventions as demonstrated by a Cochrane review that analyzed
61 1583 meta-analyses covering 228 different medical conditions (20). RCTs introduce several
62 biases (21), including that the physicians and patients included in these trials are not the same

63 as those included in observational studies (selection bias). Furthermore, the fact that RCTs on
64 the same disease produce heterogeneous results with different directions of the effect shows
65 that these approaches are not accurate (22) and does not prevent the effect of confounding
66 factors. This inaccuracy has also been illustrated by the fact that the range for point estimate
67 was wider for randomized, controlled trials than for observational studies in a meta-analysis
68 of 99 reports on 5 different medical conditions from 5 major medical journals (Annals of
69 internal medicine, BMJ, JAMA, the Lancet, and the New England Journal of Medicine) (23).

70 The limited role of RCTs in clinical practice is also confirmed by the fact that the
71 majority (>80%) of infectious disease recommendations are not based on any placebo-
72 controlled RCT. For example, the recommendations in the Infectious Diseases Society of
73 America (IDSA) clinical practice guidelines are primarily based on evidence from non-
74 randomized studies or expert opinion. Evidence based on at least one RCT makes up only
75 16% of the recommendations (24). This is also the case, for example, for quinine for malaria,
76 penicillin, treatment of syphilis, treatment of typhoid, Q fever, Whipple's disease, and most
77 vaccines, including rabies vaccine.

78 Beyond RCTs, big data studies were presented as a new reference. Here, we report an
79 update of a meta-analysis (25) that highlights the Simpson's paradox (26): Big data studies,
80 which "pool" raw data from very different groups, produce very heterogeneous and
81 inconsistent results, whereas clinical studies, conducted by physicians who see patients, have
82 consistent results. Overall, all of this suggests that well-conducted observational studies
83 conducted by physicians who see patients and who know the disease are the best approach to
84 control confounding factors and to define optimal patient management, particularly in an
85 acute fatal pandemic disease such as COVID19 (21,23).

86 Finally, we have recently carried out a meta-analysis of all the work done on
87 hydroxychloroquine (25) that is upgraded in this response. Here, we specifically focused on

88 mortality and viral shedding persistence, including two new randomized controlled trial
89 reporting a favorable effect on viral shedding (27,28) (Figure 1). Importantly, while the
90 conflict has been particularly violent in France and the United States, 5 studies from both
91 these countries have shown that hydroxychloroquine reduces rate of hospitalization, length of
92 hospitalization, mortality, and viral shedding in 4,642 (29), 3,737 (30), 2,820 (31), 2,541 (32)
93 and 518 (33) patients. The methods are detailed in the supplementary data.

94 This new meta-analysis (Figure 1) included, for the mortality outcome, 48,655 patients
95 (including 29,153 treated by a chloroquine derivative) from 31 studies in 11 countries
96 (Andorra (34), Belgium (35), Brazil (36), China (37), Egypt (38), France (29,30,39-43), Italy
97 (44-47), Iran (48), Saudi Arabia (49), Spain (50-52), USA (31-33,53-57), and two
98 multinational teams (58,59). Studies assessing the death outcome but excluded from the
99 present analysis and reasons for exclusion are detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Data
100 extracted from the included studies for the mortality outcome are reported in Supplementary
101 Table 2. A two-fold decrease of the risk of death was confirmed in clinical studies (number of
102 comparisons (n) = 23, odds ratio 0.56, 95% confidence interval (95%CI) 0.48 – 0.65, p =
103 7.47×10^{-13}) and among big data studies (n = 14, OR = 0.89, 95%CI 0.81 – 0.98, p = 0.022 –
104 Figure 1A). Heterogeneity was significant between clinical and big data studies (Q-value
105 39.8, p = 2.8×10^{-10}). Effect size was consistent among clinical studies ($I^2 = 29\%$, p = 0.09) but
106 not among big data studies ($I^2 = 78\%$, p = 7.1×10^{-8}). Indeed, for instance, a big data study (31)
107 recently reported a very significant two-fold decrease in mortality in 2,820 patients from the 8
108 hospitals of the Mount Sinai Health System (New York, USA). This result contrasts with
109 other big data studies (29,53,57). Despite substantial heterogeneity, a significant summary
110 effect was observed when including all comparisons from all included studies (n = 37, OR
111 0.78, 95%CI 0.72 - 0.85, p = 1.1×10^{-8}). Exclusion of the study from our center (30) did not
112 modify neither the overall effect (n = 36, OR = 0.76, 95%CI 0.69 – 0.84, p = 6.0×10^{-8}) nor the

113 two-fold decrease in the risk of death among 18 clinical studies from other centers (n = 22,
114 OR 0.55, 95%CI 0.46 - 0.65, p = 2.0x10⁻¹¹).

115 Looking at persistent viral shedding, a total of 5,204 patients (3,765 treated by a
116 chloroquine derivative) from 12 studies from only 6 countries were included (5 from China
117 (27,60-63), 2 from France (30,42), 1 from Pakistan (28), 1 from Saudi Arabia (64), 2 from
118 South Korea (65,66) and 1 from Taiwan (67). Studies assessing the viral shedding outcome
119 but excluded from the present analysis and reasons for exclusion are detailed in
120 Supplementary Table 3. Data extracted from the included studies for the viral shedding
121 outcome are reported in Supplementary Table 4. Overall, a substantial heterogeneity was
122 found among all study (I² = 78%), and this heterogeneity remained unchanged after excluding
123 the only one found as a big data study associated with unfavorable outcome (66). Meta-
124 analysis of clinical studies evidenced a significant two-fold decrease of the risk of viral
125 persistence (13 comparisons, OR 0.50, 95%CI 0.32 – 0.79, p = 0.003, Figure 1B). Exclusion
126 of our study (30) did not change the effect size (n = 12, OR = 0.48, 95% CI 0.26 – 0.87).
127 Strikingly, none of the studies from USA assessed the virus persistence (68).

128 This new meta-analysis shows that, apart from the unverifiable work that did not
129 assess virological outcome and carried out by people who had conflicts of interest with the
130 pharmaceutical industry (69), the body of publications shows that hydroxychloroquine
131 therapy is significantly and reproducibly correlated with a two-fold decrease in both mortality
132 and viral shedding.

133 In practice, our seminal work (4) has benefited from a massive diffusion despite a
134 profusion of papers that have not been verified but accepted each time they had a negative
135 position towards hydroxychloroquine (6,68). However, the facts being stubborn, the
136 accumulation of publications showing that hydroxychloroquine is effective following our
137 paper leaves no doubt that this preliminary study did indeed paved the way for a therapeutic

138 strategy that is now being generalized throughout the world, and whose favorable results have
139 been replicated several times. In addition, a group of American and Italian experts recently
140 recommended the use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in COVID-19 outpatients at
141 the early stage of the infection (70).

142 **Acknowledgements**

143 We thank Yanis ROUSSEL for his contribution to the preparation of this response.

144 **Funding**

145 None

146 **Ethical approval**

147 Nor required

148 **Declaration of competing interest**

149 The authors declare no competing interests. Funding sources had no role in the design and
150 conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and
151 preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. Our Marseille group used widely available
152 generic drugs distributed by many pharmaceutical companies.

153 **Authors' contributions statement:**

154 Writing – original draft: MM, PG & DR

155 Writing – review & editing: JCL, PC, PP, JMR & DR

156 Conceptualization: DR

157 **References**

- 158 1. Machiels JD, Bleeker-Rovers CP, ter Heine R, et al. Reply to Gautret et al.
159 Hydroxychloroquine sulfate and azithromycin for COVID-19: what is evidence and
160 what are the risks ? Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;56(1):106056.
161 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106056
- 162 2. Rosendaal FR. Review of: "Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of
163 COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial Gautret et al 2010,
164 DOI:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105949. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;56(1):106063.
165 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106063
- 166 3. Voss A, Coombs G, Unal S, Saginur R, Hsueh PR. Publishing in face of the COVID-
167 19 pandemic. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;56(1):106081.
168 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106081
- 169 4. Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a
170 treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. Int J
171 Antimicrob Agents. 2020;56(1):105949. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105949
- 172 5. Raoult D, Hsueh PR, Stefani S, Rolain JM. COVID-19 Therapeutic and Prevention.
173 Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;55(4):105937. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105937
- 174 6. Million M, Chaudet H, Raoult D. Hydroxychloroquine Failure: The End does not
175 justify the Means [published online ahead of print, 2020 Aug 6]. Clin Infect Dis.
176 2020a;ciaa1117. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1117
- 177 7. Gao J, Tian Z, Yang X. Breakthrough: Chloroquine phosphate has shown apparent
178 efficacy in treatment of COVID-19 associated pneumonia in clinical studies. Biosci
179 Trends. 2020;14(1):72-73. doi:10.5582/bst.2020.01047

- 180 8. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the
181 recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res.* 2020;30(3):269-
182 271. doi:10.1038/s41422-020-0282-0
- 183 9. Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al. In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Projection of Optimized
184 Dosing Design of Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory
185 Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71(15):732-739.
186 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa237
- 187 10. Keyaerts E, Vijgen L, Maes P, Neyts J, Van Ranst M. In vitro inhibition of severe
188 acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus by chloroquine. *Biochem Biophys Res*
189 *Commun.* 2004;323(1):264-268. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.08.085
- 190 11. Rolain JM, Colson P, Raoult D. Recycling of chloroquine and its hydroxyl analogue to
191 face bacterial, fungal and viral infections in the 21st century. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.*
192 2007;30(4):297-308. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.05.015
- 193 12. Savarino A, Di Trani L, Donatelli I, Cauda R, Cassone A. New insights into the
194 antiviral effects of chloroquine. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2006;6(2):67-69.
195 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70361-9
- 196 13. Vincent MJ, Bergeron E, Benjannet S, et al. Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS
197 coronavirus infection and spread. *Virology.* 2005;2:69. Published 2005 Aug 22.
198 doi:10.1186/1743-422X-2-69
- 199 14. Andreani J, Le Bideau M, Dufloy I, et al. In vitro testing of combined
200 hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on SARS-CoV-2 shows synergistic effect.
201 *Microb Pathog.* 2020;145:104228. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104228
- 202 15. Gendrot M, Andreani J, Boxberger M, et al. Antimalarial drugs inhibit the replication
203 of SARS-CoV-2: An in vitro evaluation [published online ahead of print, 2020 Sep 8].
204 *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2020;37:101873. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101873

- 205 16. Retallack H, Di Lullo E, Arias C, et al. Zika virus cell tropism in the developing
206 human brain and inhibition by azithromycin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
207 2016;113(50):14408-14413. doi:10.1073/pnas.1618029113
- 208 17. Li C, Zu S, Deng YQ, et al. Azithromycin Protects against Zika virus Infection by
209 Upregulating virus-induced Type I and III Interferon Responses [published online
210 ahead of print, 2019 Sep 16]. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63(12):e00394-19.
211 doi:10.1128/AAC.00394-19
- 212 18. Roussel Y, Raoult D. Hydroxychloroquine recommendations toward the world: first
213 evaluations. *IHU preprints 2020a*. doi: 10.35088/wjzn-1x68
- 214 19. Mehra MR, Ruschitzka F, Patel AN. Retraction-Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine
215 with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry
216 analysis [retraction of: *Lancet*. 2020 May 22;:]. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10240):1820.
217 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31324-6
- 218 20. Anglemyer A, Horvath HT, Bero L. Healthcare outcomes assessed with observational
219 study designs compared with those assessed in randomized trials. *Cochrane Database*
220 *Syst Rev*. 2014;(4):MR000034. Published 2014 Apr 29.
221 doi:10.1002/14651858.MR000034.pub2
- 222 21. Frieden TR. Evidence for Health Decision Making - Beyond Randomized, Controlled
223 Trials. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(5):465-475. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1614394
- 224 22. Bowden J, Tierney JF, Copas AJ, Burdett S. Quantifying, displaying and accounting
225 for heterogeneity in the meta-analysis of RCTs using standard and generalised Q
226 statistics. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2011;11:41. Published 2011 Apr 7.
227 doi:10.1186/1471-2288-11-41

- 228 23. Concato J, Shah N, Horwitz RI. Randomized, controlled trials, observational studies,
229 and the hierarchy of research designs. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(25):1887-1892.
230 doi:10.1056/NEJM200006223422507
- 231 24. Khan AR, Khan S, Zimmerman V, Baddour LM, Tleyjeh IM. Quality and strength of
232 evidence of the Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guidelines.
233 *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(10):1147-1156. doi:10.1086/656735
- 234 25. Million M, Gautret P, Colson P, et al. Clinical efficacy of chloroquine derivatives in
235 COVID-19 infection: Comparative meta-analysis between the big data and the real
236 world. *New Microbes New Infections* 2020b; 100709. doi:
237 10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100709
- 238 26. Julious SA, Mullee MA. Confounding and Simpson's paradox. *BMJ.*
239 1994;309(6967):1480-1481. doi:10.1136/bmj.309.6967.1480
- 240 27. Chen L, Zhang ZY, Fu JG. et al. Efficacy and safety of chloroquine or
241 hydroxychloroquine in moderate type of COVID-19: a prospective open-label
242 randomized controlled study medRxiv 2020.06.19.20136093. doi:
243 10.1101/2020.06.19.20136093
- 244 28. Kamran SM, Mirza ZEH, Naseem A, et al. Clearing the fog: Is HCQ effective in
245 reducing COVID-19 progression: A randomized controlled trial. medRxiv
246 2020.07.30.20165365; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.30.20165365>
- 247 29. Sbidian E, Josse J, Lemaitre G, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without
248 azithromycin and in-hospital mortality or discharge in patients hospitalized for
249 COVID-19 infection: a cohort study of 4,642 in-patients in France. medRxiv
250 2020;06.16.20132597; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.16.20132597
- 251 30. Lagier JC, Million M, Gautret P, et al. Outcomes of 3,737 COVID-19 patients treated
252 with hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin and other regimens in Marseille, France: A

- 253 retrospective analysis. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2020 Jul-Aug;36:101791. doi:
254 10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101791.
- 255 31. Mikami T, Miyashita H, Yamada T, et al. Risk Factors for Mortality in Patients with
256 COVID-19 in New York City [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 30]. *J Gen
257 Intern Med.* 2020;1-10. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-05983-z
- 258 32. Arshad S, Kilgore P, Chaudhry ZS, et al. Treatment with hydroxychloroquine,
259 azithromycin, and combination in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Int J Infect
260 Dis.* 2020;97:396-403. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.06.099
- 261 33. Scholz, M. Derwand, R. Zelenko, V. COVID-19 Outpatients – Early Risk-Stratified
262 Treatment with Zinc Plus Low Dose Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin: A
263 Retrospective Case Series Study. Preprints 2020 ; 2020070025. doi :
264 10.20944/preprints202007.0025.v1.
- 265 34. Heras E, Garibaldi P, Boix M. COVID-19 mortality risk factors in older people in a
266 long-term care center. *Researchsquare (preprint)* 09/02/2020. Doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-
267 70219/v1
- 268 35. Catteau L, Dauby N, Montourcy M, et al. Low-dose hydroxychloroquine therapy and
269 mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a nationwide observational study of
270 8075 participants. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2020;56(4):106144.
271 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106144
- 272 36. Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without
273 Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020
274 Jul 23]. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;NEJMoa2019014. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2019014
- 275 37. Yu B, Li C, Chen P, et al. Low dose of hydroxychloroquine reduces fatality of
276 critically ill patients with COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 15]

277 [published correction appears in *Sci China Life Sci.* 2020 Jun 18;:]. *Sci China Life*
278 *Sci.* 2020;1-7. doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1732-2

279 38. Abd-Elsalam S, Esmail ES, Khalaf M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in the Treatment of
280 COVID-19: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Study [published online ahead of
281 print, 2020 Aug 14]. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2020;10.4269/ajtmh.20-0873.
282 doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-0873

283 39. Guérin V, Lévy P, Thomas, J, Lardenois T, et al. Azithromycin and
284 Hydroxychloroquine Accelerate Recovery of Outpatients with Mild/Moderate
285 COVID-19. *Preprints 2020*; 2020050486 doi: 10.20944/preprints202005.0486.v1

286 40. Mahévas M, Tran VT, Roumier M, et al. Clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in
287 patients with covid-19 pneumonia who require oxygen: observational comparative
288 study using routine care data [published correction appears in *BMJ.* 2020 Jun
289 18;369:m2328]. *BMJ.* 2020;369:m1844. Published 2020 May 14. doi:
290 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1844>

291 41. Paccoud O, Tubach F, Baptiste A, et al. Compassionate use of hydroxychloroquine in
292 clinical practice for patients with mild to severe Covid-19 in a French university
293 hospital [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 18]. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;ciaa791.
294 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa791

295 42. Lecronier M, Beurton A, Burrel S, et al. Comparison of hydroxychloroquine,
296 lopinavir/ritonavir, and standard of care in critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2
297 pneumonia: an opportunistic retrospective analysis. *Crit Care.* 2020;24(1):418.
298 Published 2020 Jul 11. doi:10.1186/s13054-020-03117-9

299 43. Ly TDA, Didier Zanini D, Vincent Laforge V, et al. Pattern of SARS-CoV-2 infection
300 among dependant elderly residents living in retirement homes in Marseille, France,
301 March-June 2020. *IHU Preprint.* Version 2 du 21 août 2020. *IHU Méditerranée*

- 302 Infection #27.[https://www.mediterranee-infection.com/pre-prints-](https://www.mediterranee-infection.com/pre-prints-ihu/#1593517752065-972df972-bc07)
303 [ihu/#1593517752065-972df972-bc07](https://www.mediterranee-infection.com/pre-prints-ihu/#1593517752065-972df972-bc07)
- 304 44. Alberici F, Delbarba E, Manenti C, et al. A report from the Brescia Renal COVID
305 Task Force on the clinical characteristics and short-term outcome of hemodialysis
306 patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Kidney Int.* 2020;98(1):20-26.
307 doi:10.1016/j.kint.2020.04.030
- 308 45. d'Arminio Monforte A, Tavelli A, Bai F, Marchetti G, Cozzi-Lepri A. Effectiveness of
309 hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 disease: A done and dusted deal? [published online
310 ahead of print, 2020 Jul 29]. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020;99:75-76.
311 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.07.056
- 312 46. Lauriola M, Pani A, Ippoliti G, et al. Effect of combination therapy of
313 hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on mortality in COVID-19 patients [published
314 online ahead of print, 2020 Sep 14]. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2020;10.1111/cts.12860.
315 doi:10.1111/cts.12860
- 316 47. Di Castelnuovo et al. COVID-19 RISK and Treatments (CORIST) Collaboration
317 members:. Use of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised COVID-19 patients is
318 associated with reduced mortality: Findings from the observational multicentre Italian
319 CORIST study [published online ahead of print, 2020 Aug 25]. *Eur J Intern Med.*
320 2020;S0953-6205(20)30335-6. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2020.08.019
- 321 48. Alamdari NM, Afaghi S, Rahimi FS, et al. Mortality Risk Factors among Hospitalized
322 COVID-19 Patients in a Major Referral Center in Iran. *Tohoku J Exp Med.*
323 2020;252(1):73-84. doi:10.1620/tjem.252.73
- 324 49. Sulaiman T, Mohana A, Alawdah L, et al. The Effect of Early Hydroxychloroquine-
325 based Therapy in COVID-19 Patients in Ambulatory Care Settings: A Nationwide

326 Prospective Cohort Study. medRxiv 2020.09.09.20184143; doi:
327 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.09.20184143>

328 50. Membrillo de Novales FJ, Ramírez-Olivencia G, et al. Early Hydroxychloroquine Is
329 Associated with an Increase of Survival in COVID-19 Patients: An Observational
330 Study. Preprints. 2020; 2020050057. doi: 10.20944/preprints202005.0057.v1.

331 51. Bernaola N, Mena R, Bernaola A, et al. Observational Study of the Efficiency of
332 Treatments in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 in Madrid. medRxiv
333 2020.07.17.20155960; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.17.20155960>

334 52. Gonzalez MM, Gonzalo ES, Lopez IC et al. The Prognostic Value of Eosinophil
335 Recovery in COVID-19: A Multicentre, Retrospective Cohort Study on Patients
336 Hospitalised in Spanish Hospitals. medRxiv preprint doi:
337 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.18.20172874>. posted August 21, 2020

338 53. Rosenberg ES, Dufort EM, Udo T, et al. Association of Treatment With
339 Hydroxychloroquine or Azithromycin With In-Hospital Mortality in Patients With
340 COVID-19 in New York State. JAMA. 2020;323(24):2493-2502.
341 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8630

342 54. Ip A, Berry DA, Hansen E, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and Tocilizumab Therapy in
343 COVID-19 Patients - An Observational Study. MedRxiv 2020.05.21.20109207; doi:
344 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.20109207>

345 55. Ip A, Ahn J, Zhou Y, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of outpatients with
346 mildly symptomatic COVID-19: A multi-center observational study. medRxiv
347 2020.08.20.20178772; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178772>

348 56. Roomi S, Ullah W, Ahmed F, et al. Efficacy of Hydroxychloroquine and Tocilizumab
349 in Patients With COVID-19: Single-Center Retrospective Chart Review. J Med
350 Internet Res. 2020;22(9):e21758. Published 2020 Sep 1. doi:10.2196/21758

- 351 57. Singh S, Khan A, Chowdhry M, Chatterjee A. Outcomes of Hydroxychloroquine
352 Treatment Among Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients in the United States- Real-World
353 Evidence From a Federated Electronic Medical Record Network. medRxiv.
354 2020.05.12;20099028; doi: 10.1101/2020.05.12.20099028
- 355 58. Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, et al. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 Days in Patients with
356 Severe Covid-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 27]. N Engl J Med.
357 2020;NEJMoa2015301. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2015301
- 358 59. Pinato DJ, Zambelli A, Aguilar-Company J, et al. Clinical portrait of the SARS-CoV-
359 2 epidemic in European cancer patients [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 31].
360 Cancer Discov. 2020;CD-20-0773. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0773
- 361 60. Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, Liu P, Xu Q, Xia L, et al. A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine
362 in treatment of patients with common coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19). J Zhejiang
363 Unniv. Med Sci. 2020;49:0.
- 364 61. Huang M, Li M, Xiao F, Liang J, et al. Preliminary evidence from a multicenter
365 prospective observational study of the safety and efficacy of chloroquine for the
366 treatment of COVID-19. medRxiv 2020.04.26.20081059. doi:
367 10.1101/2020.04.26.20081059
- 368 62. Huang M, Tang T, Pang P, et al. Treating COVID-19 with Chloroquine. J Mol Cell
369 Biol. 2020;12(4):322-325. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjaa014
- 370 63. Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: an
371 open-label, randomized, controlled trial. medRxiv . 2020.04.10;20060558. doi:
372 10.1101/2020.04.10.20060558 (version 1).
- 373 64. Shabrawishi MH, Naser AY, Aldobyany AM, Negative nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2
374 PCR conversion in Response to different therapeutic interventions. medRxiv. Posted
375 May 11, 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.08.20095679

- 376 65. Kim MS, Jang SW, Park YK et al, Treatment Response to Hydroxychloroquine,
377 Lopinavir/Ritonavir, and Antibiotics for Moderate COVID 19: A First Report on the
378 Pharmacological Outcomes from South Korea. medRxiv. 2020.05.13;20094193. doi:
379 10.1101/2020.05.13.20094193.
- 380 66. An MH, Kim MS, Park Y, Bong-Ok Kim, et al. Treatment Response to
381 Hydroxychloroquine and Antibiotics for mild to moderate COVID-19: a retrospective
382 cohort study from South Korea. medRxiv 2020.07.04.20146548; doi:
383 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.04.20146548>
- 384 67. Chen CP, Lin YC, Chen TC, et al. A Multicenter, randomized, open-label, controlled
385 trial to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of hydroxychloroquine and a retrospective
386 study in adult patients with mild to moderate Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)
387 medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.08.20148841> posted July 10,
388 2020
- 389 68. Million M, Roussel Y, Raoult D. Chloroquine and COVID-19: A western medical and
390 scientific drift? Eur J Intern Med. 2020c;S0953-6205(20)30266-1. doi:
391 10.1016/j.ejim.2020.06.020
- 392 69. Roussel Y, Raoult D. Influence of conflicts of interest on public positions in the
393 COVID-19 era, the case of Gilead sciences. New Microbes New Infect. 2020b;
394 100710. In press. doi: 10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100710
- 395 70. McCullough PA, Kelly RJ, Ruocco G, et al. Pathophysiological Basis and Rationale
396 for Early Outpatient Treatment of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Infection. Am J Med.
397 2020 Aug 7:S0002-9343(20)30673-2.

398 **Figure 1. Meta-analysis on chloroquine derivatives against COVID-19**

399 A. Mortality, B. Viral shedding. CI: confidence interval, HCQ: hydroxychloroquine, CQ:
400 chloroquine, AZ: Azithromycin, RCT: randomized controlled trial. This meta-analysis was
401 performed with a random-effects model using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis v3 (Biostat,
402 Englewood, NJ, USA). Randomized controlled trials are labeled “RCT” (highlighted in
403 yellow) and studies whose authors reported conflicts of interests are written in red. *In this
404 study, 226 patients were included but only 40 matched patients were included in the
405 multivariate statistical analysis.