



FLUORIDE FREE PEEL

ABOUT / MISSION

PREGNANCY & F DO NOT MIX

POISONING BABIES

SWALLOW OR SPIT?

A THREAT TO BRAIN HEALTH

MORE FACTS

VIDEOS

TAKE ACTION

NEWS

ENVIRO



Public Health Agency of Canada
 Agence de la santé publique du Canada
 Access to Information and Privacy Division
 7th Floor, Suite 700, Holland Cross - Tower B
 1600 Scott Street, (Mail Stop: 3107A)
 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

Our file: PHAC-A-2020-000110 / TTL

severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). RT-PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus; thus, its ability to determine

Freedom of Information reveals Public Health Agency of Canada has no record of "SARS-COV-2" isolation performed by anyone, anywhere, ever

Posted December 7, 2020

Recent Posts

[Comparing Fluoride Exposures in Pregnant Canadian Women: Fluoridated versus Nonfluoridated Drinking Water](#)

[Top Fluoride Expert Saddened by London Council's Fluoridation Position](#)

The **Senior Leadership at the Public Health Agency of Canada** is comprised of:

Patty Hajdu – Minister of Health

Dr. Theresa Tam – Chief Public Health Officer

Iain Stewart – President (*"from 2016 to 2020, he was President of the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada"*) – [which also has no records of SARS-COV-2 isolation](#))

[Fluoride Toxicity Expert calls Windsor Council's Fluoridation Vote a Huge Mistake](#)

[Dr. Hardy Limeback's Critique of Johnny Johnson's Windsor Slides](#)

[Dr. Gilles Parent's Committee Presentation, April 2018](#)

Public Health Agency of Canada's organizational structure

Find information on the Public Health Agency of Canada's (PHAC) leaders, organizational branches and regional offices.

Senior leadership

Meet the Public Health Agency of Canada's leaders.



Minister of Health

[The Honourable Patty Hajdu](#)



Chief Public Health Officer

[Dr. Theresa Tam](#)



President of the Public Health Agency of Canada

[Iain Stewart](#)

FLUORIDE ALERTS

[Why Small Donations are Important For FAN](#)

While, the FAN team is very excited when we receive large donations, we also know that support from small foundations hinges upon the number of supporters we have. The easiest way we can demonstrate to them that we have a lot of supporters is to show them how many donations we receive during our fundraisers. Right [...]

Further down this page you will see a screenshot of a Freedom of Information request that I submitted to the Public Health Agency of Canada almost 6 months ago, requesting evidence that is absolutely essential (but not on its own sufficient) for establishing the existence of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2".

Without this step having been performed (followed by controlled experiments), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged "novel coronavirus" (blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures) actually exists.

Without this step having been performed and followed by the necessary controlled experiments, all claims of this alleged virus are nothing but wild, unscientific, fraud-based speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraudulent diagnoses.

And naturally this entire procedure must have been performed not just once, but replicated.

A colleague in New Zealand and I have been collecting Freedom of Information responses from around the world (with assistance from a number of other request-submitters), and making them publicly available as evidence of the "COVID-19" fraud, conspiracy and terrorism that has been destroying lives and the economy world-wide this year, and used to usher in a vile globalist agenda that has nothing whatsoever to do with protecting or promoting public health.

Our wish is that all individuals responsible/complicit in this crime against humanity will be held accountable to the fullest extent lawfully possible.

Thus far, we have responses from roughly 40 institutions and offices around the world, yielding in total zero records describing the essential step of isolation aka purification.

All of these responses are publicly available here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

The Public Health Agency of Canada has been long overdue in responding to this Freedom of Information request that was very clearly stated (you can click on the image to make it larger):

June 13, 2020

To:

Cynthia Richardson
 Access to Information and Privacy Coordinator
 Access to Information and Privacy Division
 Holland Cross, Tower B
 7th Floor, Suite 700, Room 741
 1800 Scott Street, Address locator: 3107A
 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9
phac.atip-ajopr.aspc@canada.ca
ATIP-AIPRP@HC-SC.GC.CA

Dear Ms. Richardson,

This is a formal request made under Canada's *Access to Information Act*.

Description of Requested Records:

All records in the possession, custody or control of the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: *the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else*. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHAC or that pertain to work done by PHAC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that PHAC has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).

Below is a request for clarification conveyed to me through a Senior ATIP Analyst via email on June 23, 2020, on behalf of the **Infectious Disease Prevention and Control** branch of the Public Health Agency of Canada. It includes perhaps the most stunningly inane question one could possibly dream up in this situation, as well as a query re date range for the request.

your request PHAC A-2020-000110BH - clarification needed: Your request is on hold until we receive your clarification

Haase, Barbara (PHAC) <barbara.haase@canada.ca>
 To: 'cristy@igmail.com'; 'cristy@igmail.com'

Tue, Jun 23, 2020 at 3:55

A-2020-000110BH

All records in the possession, custody or control of the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHAC or that pertain to work done by PHAC. **My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that PHAC has downloaded or printed.**

Good afternoon,

We have tasked the responsive OPI with your request, namely the **Infectious Disease Prevention and Control (IDPC)** who requested further clarification:

- Did you want any employee tasked at the **IDPC** branch as to whether they have any downloaded and kept, either electronically or in hardcopy, any study on any subject?
- Please note that information that is public is excluded from the Access to Information Act under s. 68(a) <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/A-1/page-12.html#h-355> and will not be provided. (links to the information would be provided where possible).
- Please also provide a date-range for your request

Note that your request is **on hold** until we have received your clarification.

Barbara Haase
 Senior ATIP Analyst, Access to Information and Privacy
 Health Canada / Public Health Agency Canada / Government of Canada
Barbara.haase@canada.ca

Here is my response to Ms. Haase; note that I indicated **"Jan. 1 2020 until the date of my request"** for the requested date range:

your request PHAC A-2020-000110/BH - clarification needed! Your request is on hold until we receive your clarification!

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
 To: "Haase, Barbara (HC/SC)" <barbara.haase@canada.ca>
 Cc: phac-atip-aiirp.aspc@canada.ca, ATIP-AIRP@hc-sc.gc.ca

Tue, Jun 23, 2020 at 5:49

Dear Ms. Haase,

A screenshot of my request is attached.

The sentence highlighted in yellow in your message was a clarification of my request for records "describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus..." and not an additional request for "any study on any subject". This is why the highlighted sentence made reference to "my request".

So the answer to the question "Did you want every employee tasked at the IDPC branch as to whether they have ever downloaded and kept, either electronically or in hardcopy, any study on any subject?" is "no". I did not request any study on any subject.

I do not work for PHAC; I have no idea how PHAC or its records are organized. I would hope and expect, as I imagine all Canadians would, that PHAC has an efficient system in place, especially for their records on a topic as critically important as "COVID-19" and the "isolation of SARS-COV-2". I do not know (and am not required to advise on) how PHAC ought to go about identifying the responsive records, if any exist.

I am aware of section 68, and did not ask to be provided any records that are public. I requested: "If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it)".

Links would also be appreciated and in accordance with my understanding of Part 1, section 4 (2.1) of the act (Responsibility of government institutions).

Date range for my request: Jan. 1 2020 until the date of my email request.

Thank you and best wishes,
 Christine Massey, M.Sc.

A month ago the agency had given me a heads-up, via an analyst named Tammy Turpin-Loyer, that their response would be provided soon:

PHAC A-2020-000110 Inbox x coronavirus/FOI x

Turpin-Loyer, Tammy (HC/SC) <tammy.turpin-loyer@canada.ca>
 to me Nov 13, 2020, 9:00 AM ☆ ↶

Hello,

Just wanted to let you know, that I am finalizing your request, and submitting it for approval.

We are happy to be able to offer you a new and fast way to receive answers to your inquiries at no additional cost to you. EPOST Connect is a secure messaging service that protects your documents, files and messages (see attached). As a result, your identity and all information sent to our office will be protected, and your privacy rights will be respected at all times under the *Privacy Act*. Once you have created your EPOST Connect account, we ask that you inform our office by email at phac-atip-aiirp.aspc@canada.ca

Please let me know how you wish to receive the records,
 Thank you
 Tammy Turpin-Loyer

Due to the agency's dubious suggestion that they actually have records describing legitimate isolation of "SARS-COV-2" (that no one else in the world seems to know about!), I reiterated that I am not interested in bogus "isolation" claims, and made clear that the Agency's response would be made public:

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
 to Tammy Fri, Nov 13, 9:54 AM ☆ ↶

Dear Tammy,

Thank you for your message.

I am not concerned about having my identity protected. The request that I submitted months ago to PHAC (shown below) was made public long ago and has been shared around the world. PHAC's response will also be made public.

Please note that I made clear in my request that I am not interested in records where the word "isolation" has been abused. Should PHAC provide me with irrelevant records (or commentary) that are not responsive to my request, it will reflect poorly on the institution and indicate a lack of competence, and this too will be publicly noted.

Please note that I also made clear in my request that I prefer pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me (or to use EPOST).

Thank you and best wishes,
 Christine

Turpin-Loyer, Tammy (HC/SC)
 to me Fri, Nov 13, 9:57 AM ☆ ↶

Hello,

Thank you very much.

I appreciate the message below, and I just wanted to make sure prior to our releasing that nothing has changed.


Thank you
 Tammy

...

Today I finally received the first official response letter from Curtis Mathews, Manager, Access to Information and Privacy Division, Public Health Agency of Canada.

The response was emailed to me as a pdf attachment by Ms. Turpin-Loyer, and it was strangely un-dated (just like [Health Canada's response](#) to the same records request).

The first page of the response is shown below and the entire letter may be accessed here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/undated-PHAC-A-2020-000110-Disclosed-in-Part-Release-letter-scrubbed.pdf>



Public Health
Agency of Canada

Agence de la santé
publique du Canada

Access to Information and Privacy Division
7th Floor, Suite 700, Holland Cross - Tower B
1600 Scott Street, (Mail Stop: 3107A)
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

Our file: PHAC-A-2020-000110 / TTL

Dear Christine Massey:

This is in response to your request made under the *Access to Information Act* (the Act) for the following information:

All records in the possession, custody or control of the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.


Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHAC or that pertain to work done by PHAC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that PHAC has downloaded or printed.

Clarification:
Date range of request is January 1, 2020 until June 15, 2020

Enclosed please find records responsive to your request. You will note that portions of the records are withheld from disclosure pursuant to sections 19 and 20 of the Act. For ease of reference, a copy of the Act may be found at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/a-1/> which provides a description of the redaction(s) applied.

Should you have any questions or concerns about the processing of your request please do not hesitate to contact Tammy Turpin-Loyer, the analyst responsible for this file, by email at tammy.turpin-loyer@canada.ca with reference to our file number cited above.

Please be advised that you are entitled to complain to the Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada concerning the processing of your request within 60 days of the receipt of this notice. In the event you decide to avail yourself of this right, your notice of complaint can be made online at: <https://www.oic-ci.gc.ca/en/submitting-complaint> or by mail to:




.../2

[Note that the letter indicates a date range for the requested records from January 1, 2020 until June 15, 2020. Technically this is correct (although arguably not in good faith), since my request was submitted on June 13, 2020. Had I dreamt that the Public Health Agency of Canada would take almost **six months** to respond to this very timely request for records that ought to have been at their fingertips I would have indicated "Jan. 1 2020 **until the date of PHAC's response**" as the date range.]

Any who... the Public Health Agency of Canada's response letter indicated that responsive records were enclosed. In fact, no additional attachments had been included in the email, however Ms. Turpin-Loyer had indicated that she would have to provide the response in 2 parts, and so I awaited an additional email.


In the meantime, I requested of Ms. Turpin-Loyer that the date be inserted into the letter, as shown in the email below. Also shown below are the emails that followed.



A short while later, Ms. Turpin-Loyer sent me the dated letter and 2

additional pdf attachments.

Below is a screenshot of page 1 of the dated letter. The entire letter may be accessed here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/dated-PHAC-A-2020-000110-Disclosed-in-Part-Release-letter-002-scrubbed.pdf>



Super, we are finally making progress.

Now for the allegedly responsive records, which contain a manuscript and emails between Staff at the Public Health Agency of Canada and Public Health Ontario (PHO/OAHPP) – another agency rife with scientific fraud.

[Note that **FOI responses from Public Health Ontario and the Ontario Ministry of Health are long overdue:**

Public Health Ontario ([submitted July 16, 2020](#))

Ontario Ministry of Health ([submitted May 14, 2020](#))

The allegedly "responsive" records from the Public Health Agency of Canada were provided in 2 pdf files consisting of 26 pages in total; they can be accessed at the following links:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/A-2020-000110-Pages-1-13.pdf>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/A-2020-000110-Pages-14-26.pdf>

By way of explaining **the glaring problems with this response from the Public Health Agency of Canada – which indicate at best a stunning level of incompetence** – I have pasted my email response to Ms. Turpin-Loyer (Dec 7, 2020 at 3:46 PM) below:

*** BEGINNING OF EMAIL ***

Hi Tammy,

Thank you for the dated letter, however there is still a problem with it. The letter states that responsive records are enclosed.

I've gone through both of the pdf attachments that contain the records and there is nothing in them that is responsive to my request.

The manuscript and emails discuss exactly what I stated in my request that I am not interested in: mixing patient samples (adulterating them) with genetic material, specifically monkey kidney (aka "Vero") cells and fetal bovine serum (FBS); and PCR tests; the emails also mention sequencing.

There is no description anywhere of what I requested: separating a thing (the alleged "SARS-COV-2") from everything else in a patient sample.

I don't even see the word "isolate" (or "isolation", or "purify" or

"purification") anywhere, except in the manuscript where it appears **only in the context of isolating people**, not a virus (the [published version](#) of the paper is searchable.) (And note the admission on page 1: *"RT-PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus"*).

All references here to "the virus" are absurd and fraudulent and based on wild, unscientific assumptions.

No one has looked for or found "the virus". They simply assumed (based on PCR tests that are utterly incapable of determining the presence of an intact virus) that patient samples contained "the virus"; they then adulterated the samples with genetic material and toxic drugs, then irrationally blamed "the virus" for harm to the monkey kidney cells.

This is a typical example of what I call "fraudulent monkey business", the only difference being that the manuscript and emails do not even make a fraudulent claim (that I can see) of having isolated (or "purified") any virus.

[Also note that the samples were stored in "viral transport media" for 1-3 days before the PCR testing was even begun. The [CDC's SOP for such](#) includes fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs. Thus in all likelihood, the samples in this study were contaminated with genetic material before any investigation even began.]


These records have nothing to do with isolation of a virus (as per the every day meaning that I indicated in my request); they are not responsive to my request.

Thank you for your efforts, but I require an accurate response from PHAC indicating that they have "no responsive records".

Thanks and best wishes,
Christine

*** END OF EMAIL ***

Ms. Turpin-Loyer's responses:



So "What The Hell Is Going?

At this point you might be scratching your head and wondering what on Earth is going on. If so, the collection of presentations, articles and facts (not theories) on the page linked below will reveal the fraud and trickery that's behind the fake pandemic known as "COVID-19".

"So What The Hell Is Going On"?

If you're on this page it's likely because you've learned, or are in the process of learning, that the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2" has never been isolated/purified (as evidenced by the Methods sections in the published papers claiming to have isolated "SARS-COV-2" and by dozens of Freedom of Information responses from governments and health/science ... Continue reading

If you would like to receive email updates re new FOI responses, let me know at Christine.Massey@protonmail.com.

Connect with me (CM) on Twitter (twitter assigned the annoying handle): <https://twitter.com/Christi45657364>

